Higher Order Properties of Bootstrap and Jackknife Bias Corrected Maximum Likelihood Estimators

Jinyong Hahn[*]   Guido Kuersteiner[†]   Whitney Newey[‡]

Brown University   MIT   MIT

March 15, 2002

Abstract

Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978) show that bias corrected ML estimators are higher order efficient. Their procedure however is computationally complicated because it requires integrating complicated functions over the distribution of the MLE estimator. The purpose of this paper is to show that these integrals can be replaced by sample averages without affecting the higher-order variance. We focus on bootstrap and jackknife based bias correction as a way to implement bias corrections in a nonparametric way. We find that our bootstrap and jackknife bias corrected ML estimators have the same higher order variance as the efficient estimator of Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer. Bias corrected ML estimators are therefore higher order efficient even if the bias function is estimated from the data rather than computed analytically.

[*]Department of Economics, Brown University, Box B, Providence, RI 02912. Email: Jinyong_Hahn@brown.edu

[†]MIT, Department of Economics, E52-371A, 50 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, MA 02142. Email: gkuerste@mit.edu.

[‡]MIT, Department of Economics, E52-262, 50 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, MA 02142. Email: wnewey@mit.edu.

1   Introduction

Optimality properties of otherwise asymptotically efficient estimators are often distorted by small sample biases. Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer show that bias corrected maximum likelihood (ML) estimators are higher order asymptotically efficient. Their bias correction involves analytical computation of often complicated integrals involving the true data density. From an applied point of view this procedure is therefore unattractive if not often infeasible. As a result the statistical and econometric literature has seen a variety of alternative bias correction techniques that achieve unbiasedness up to stochastic orders of n^{-1} in iid settings, where n is the sample size.

Andrews (1993) considers median unbiased estimation of an autoregressive model with normal errors. His procedure is based on the inversion of the quantile function that is in principle known or can be numerically evaluated. Since this procedure depends on complicated functions of the underlying density generalizations are not straight forward.

Taylor series expansions of the estimator can be used to obtain approximate formulae for the bias. These formulas then need to be estimated, often by means of nonparametric techniques requiring the choice of further nuisance parameters such as bandwidth selections.

In the context of simultaneous equations models Nagar (1959) proposed a similar correction based on higher order expansions of the two stage least squares estimator.

A more generally applicable, and less parametric procedure is the Jackknife procedure and more general resampling algorithms. The Jackknife bias estimator goes back to Quenouille (1949). Bootstrap bias estimation was discussed by Parr (1983), Shao (1988a,b) and Horowitz (1998) in the context of nonlinear transformations of OLS estimators of linear models and nonlinear functions of the mean. Bootstrap bias correction is also mentioned in Hall (1992).

We extend the literature on the bootstrap and jackknife bias corrected estimator in two directions. First, we analyze genuinely nonlinear estimators rather than nonlinear transformations of linear estimators as in Shao. This requires a careful treatment of the potential nonexistence of moments of the actual estimator. We address this problem by introducing truncating parameters that become less restrictive as the sample expands. Secondly, the literature on bootstrap bias corrected estimators has been focused on analyzing bias properties without investigating the effects of bias correction on the higher order variance. We are instead working with third rather than second order expansions of the bias corrected estimators. This allows us to analyze the effect bias correction has on the higher order variance of the estimator.

We are carrying out our analysis in a likelihood framework. This allows us to analyze higher order efficiency properties of bias corrected estimators. We show that the bias correction, even though based on sample averages, does not increase the higher order variance of the estimator compared to the bias corrected estimators of Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978) and thus leads to a higher order efficient procedure.

2 Higher Order Comparison of Bootstrap and Jackknife Bias Corrected MLE

In this section, we first consider a simple parametric model, and derive higher order expansions of the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE). We then derive higher order expansions of the bootstrap and jackknife bias corrected MLE, and argue that they are higher order equivalent. We argue that such bias corrected estimators should have the same higher order variance as the bias corrected MLE developed by Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978), which was shown to be third order optimal.

2.1 Higher Order Expansion of MLE

Consider a standard parametric model $Z_i \sim f(z, \theta_0)$, which satisfies sufficient smoothness conditions. For simplicity, it is assumed that $\dim(\theta_0) = 1$. We consider properties of the MLE $\widehat{\theta}$ where

$$\widehat{\theta} \equiv \max_{\theta} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(Z_i, \theta).$$

We later impose conditions that guarantee that the MLE can be understood as a solution to

$$0 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f\left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta}\right)}{\partial \theta} \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta}\right).$$

This condition is certainly satisfied for parametric models that have analytical solutions for $\widehat{\theta}$, at least with probability tending to one if the true parameter is in the interior of a compact parameter space. More generally, this condition could also be satisfied, at least up to an error that vanishes at a sufficiently fast rate if the model does not posses an analytical solution but the maximum of the log likelihood lies within the interior of the parameter space with probability tending to one. We are abstracting from these technical details to keep the exposition of our main results as clear as possible. It is convenient to understand $\widehat{\theta} \equiv \widehat{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$, where $\theta(\epsilon)$ denotes the solution to the estimating equation

$$0 = \int \ell(\cdot, \theta) \, dF_\epsilon(z).$$

Here,

$$F_\epsilon \equiv F + \epsilon \Delta \equiv F + \epsilon \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{F} - F\right), \qquad \epsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

and F and $\widehat{F}$ denote the underlying cumulative distribution function and the empirical distribution function $\widehat{F}(z) \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\{Z_i \leq z\}$.

We obtain bootstrapped estimates $\widehat{\theta}^*$ by sampling $Z_1^*, ..., Z_n^*$ identically and independently from the empirical distribution $\widehat{F}$. We denote the distribution of $Z_1^*, ..., Z_n^*$ by $\widehat{F}^*(z) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\{Z_i^* \leq z\}$.

Using previous notation it therefore follows that $\widehat{\theta}^* \equiv \widehat{\theta}^*\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is the solution to

$$0 = \int \ell\left(\cdot, \theta\right) d\widehat{F}_\epsilon\left(z\right),$$

where

$$\widehat{F}_\epsilon \equiv \widehat{F} + \epsilon\widehat{\Delta} \equiv \widehat{F} + \epsilon\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{F}^* - \widehat{F}\right), \qquad \epsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right].$$

Here, $\widehat{\Delta}$ is the bootstrap empirical process $\widehat{\Delta} \equiv \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{F}^* - \widehat{F}\right)$. We are imposing the following technical conditions to guarantee the validity of our stochastic expansions.

Condition 1 *(i) The function $\log f\left(\cdot, \theta, \alpha\right)$ is continuous in $\theta \in \Upsilon$; (ii) The parameter space Υ is a compact, separable metric space, $\theta_0 \in \text{int}\left(\Upsilon\right)$; (iii) There exists a function $M\left(z\right)$ such that*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^m \log f\left(z, \theta\right)}{\partial\theta^m}\right| \leq M\left(z\right) \qquad 0 \leq m \leq 7$$

and $E\left[M\left(Z_i\right)^Q\right] < \infty$ for some $Q > 16$; (iv) Letting $G\left(\theta\right) \equiv E\left[\log f\left(Z_i, \theta\right)\right]$, we have $G\left(\theta_0\right) - \sup_{\{\theta:|\theta-\theta_0|>\eta\}} G\left(\theta\right) > 0$ for each $\eta > 0$.

Condition 2 *Let $\phi\left(F, \theta\right) \equiv \int \ell\left(\cdot, \theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) dF\left(z\right)$ be a functional of F indexed by $\theta \in \Upsilon$, and let $\phi'\left(F, \theta\right) \equiv \int \frac{\partial\ell(\cdot, \theta(\epsilon))}{\partial\theta} dF\left(z\right)$. For all $h \in \mathbb{D}\left[-\infty, \infty\right]$ with $\|h\| \leq 1$ and $\lim_{z \to -\infty} h\left(z\right) = \lim_{z \to \infty} h\left(z\right) = 0$ and all $\epsilon \in [0, 1/\sqrt{n}]$ fixed, there exist $\widehat{\theta}\left(\epsilon\right), \widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right) \in \Upsilon$ such that $\phi\left(F + \epsilon h, \widehat{\theta}\left(\epsilon\right)\right) = 0$ and $\phi\left(\widehat{F} + \epsilon h, \widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) = 0$ except for some $\widehat{F}$ with probability zero. Also, assume $\phi'\left(F + \epsilon h, \widehat{\theta}\left(\epsilon\right)\right) \neq 0$ and $\phi'\left(\widehat{F} + \epsilon h, \widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) \neq 0$ except for some $\widehat{F}$ with probability zero.*

Condition 3 *For each $\theta \in \Upsilon$ and for $m \leq 7$ let $\partial^m \log f\left(z, \theta\right)/\partial\theta^m$ be a F-measurable function of z.*

Condition 4 *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the class of functions $\partial^m \log f\left(z, \theta\right)/\partial\theta^m$ indexed by $\theta \in \Upsilon$ with envelope $M\left(z\right)$. The envelope function $M\left(z\right)$ satisfies Pollard's entropy condition*

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{Q \in \mathfrak{P}} \sqrt{\log N\left(\varepsilon\left(\int M^2 dQ\right)^{1/2}, \mathfrak{F}, L_2(Q)\right)} d\varepsilon < \infty, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathfrak{P}$ is the class of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ that concentrate on a finite set and N is the cover number defined in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996, p.90).

Condition 1 is a standard condition guaranteeing identification of the model and imposing sufficient smoothness conditions as well as existence of higher moments to allow for a higher order stochastic expansion of the estimator. Condition 2 formalizes the fact that the first order conditions are satisfied exactly at the maximum for observations that are generated from distributions that are contained within a $\sqrt{n}$ neighborhood of the true data density. This latter requirement is important for bootstrap based

4

samples that are not derived directly from the original data density. Condition 3 together with separability of the parameter space guarantees measurability of suprema of our empirical processes. As is well known from the probability literature, measurability conditions could be relaxed somewhat at the expense of more refined convergence arguments. We are abstracting from such refinements for the purpose of this paper.

The idea behind using the bootstrap is roughly speaking that under certain regularity conditions it can be shown that $\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}$ has the same properties as $\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0$ and can therefore be used to obtain bias approximations and other corrections to the small sample distribution.

From Gine and Zinn (1990, Theorem 2.4) and Conditions 1,2,3 and 4 it follows that, almost surely, $n^{1/2} \left(\hat{F}^* - \hat{F} \right) \to T$ weakly in $l^\infty (\mathfrak{F})$. We use the result on the convergence of the empirical processes to obtain an expansion of the estimators $\widehat{\theta}$ and $\widehat{\theta}^*$.

Let $\ell (\cdot, \theta) \equiv \partial \log f (\cdot, \theta) / \partial \theta$, $\ell^\theta (\cdot, \theta) \equiv \partial^2 \log f (\cdot, \theta) / \partial \theta^2$, $\ell^{\theta\theta} (\cdot, \theta) \equiv \partial^3 \log f (\cdot, \theta) / \partial \theta^3$, etc. Define $\mathcal{I} \equiv -E \left[\ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0) \right]$, $\mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta) \equiv E \left[\ell^{\theta\theta} (Z_i, \theta) \right]$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2 (\theta) \equiv E \left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta} (Z_i, \theta) \right]$. It is convenient to express the resulting expansion in terms of U and V-statistics. We define $U_i (\theta) \equiv \ell (Z_i, \theta)$, $V_i (\theta) \equiv \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta) - E \left[\ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta) \right]$, $W_i \equiv \ell^{\theta\theta} (Z_i) - E \left[\ell^{\theta\theta} (Z_i) \right]$ and let $U (\theta) \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i (\theta)$, $V (\theta) \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i (\theta)$, and $W (\theta) \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i (\theta)$.

Proposition 1 *Assume that* $\dim (\theta) = 1$. *Under Condition 1, there exists some* $\tilde{\epsilon} \in \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$ *such that* $\widehat{\theta}$ *satisfies the expansion*

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0 \right) = \theta^\epsilon (0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) \tag{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) + \frac{1}{120} \frac{1}{n^2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) + \frac{1}{720} \frac{1}{n^2\sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (\tilde{\epsilon}) \tag{3}$$

where

$$\theta^\epsilon (0) = \mathcal{I}^{-1} U (\theta_0), \tag{4}$$

$$\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0) = \mathcal{I}^{-3} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) U (\theta_0)^2 + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2} U (\theta_0) V (\theta_0) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) = \mathcal{I}^{-4} \mathcal{Q}_2 (\theta_0) U (\theta_0)^3 + 3\mathcal{I}^{-5} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0)^2 U (\theta_0)^3 + 9\mathcal{I}^{-4} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta) U (\theta_0)^2 V (\theta_0) \tag{6}$$

$$+ 3\mathcal{I}^{-3} U (\theta_0)^2 W (\theta_0) + 6\mathcal{I}^{-3} U (\theta_0) V (\theta_0)^2.$$

Moreover, $\theta^\epsilon (0) = O_p(1)$, $\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0) = O_p(1)$, $\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) = O_p(1)$ *and* $\max_{\epsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right]} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (\epsilon) = O_p(1)$.

Proof. See Appendix A.4. ∎

Using expansion (2), we define the approximate estimator $\widetilde{\theta} \equiv \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}\theta^\epsilon (0) + \frac{1}{2} n^{-1}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0) + \frac{1}{6} n^{-3/2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0)$. We can now define the expected value of this approximate estimate as

$$E_\theta \left[\widetilde{\theta} \right] = \theta + \frac{b (\theta)}{n} + \frac{c (\theta)}{n^{3/2}}$$

where

$$b\left(\theta\right) \equiv \frac{1}{2}E\left[\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right] = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}^2}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right] + \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}^2}E\left[\ell\ell^{\theta}\right].$$

If $E\left[\widehat{\theta}\right]$ exists, then $E_\theta\left[\widetilde{\theta}\right]$ will be approximately equal to $E\left[\widehat{\theta}\right]$ at least up to order $1/n$ except possibly for some pathological cases. Therefore, we can understand $\frac{b(\theta)}{n}$ as the higher order bias of $\widehat{\theta}$. Likewise, we have

$$E\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{\theta} - \frac{b\left(\theta\right)}{n} - \theta_0\right)^2\right] \approx \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}} + \frac{\upsilon}{n}$$

where

$$\upsilon \equiv \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Var}\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{3}E\left[\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon}\right]$$

Therefore, we can understand $\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}} + \frac{\upsilon}{n}$ as the higher order variance of $\widehat{\theta}$. Finally, we can define the higher order MSE as

$$E\left[\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{\theta} - \theta_0\right)\right)^2\right] \approx \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}} + \frac{\upsilon}{n} + \frac{b\left(\theta\right)^2}{n}.$$

In order to approximate the bias of the bootstrapped estimate $\widehat{\theta}^*$ we need a similar higher order expansion as in the case of the ML estimator. Here, however, the reference point around which we develop our approximation is the empirical distribution $\widehat{F}$ rather than the original distribution F. The convergence of $\widehat{F}$ to F then guarantees that bootstrapped statistics are close to the original statistics.

We replace $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2$ with $\widehat{\mathcal{I}} = -n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{\theta}(Z_i, \widehat{\theta})$, $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i, \widehat{\theta})$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}(Z_i, \widehat{\theta})$ and define bootstrapped U and V-statistics as $U_i^*\left(\theta\right) \equiv \ell\left(Z_i^*, \theta\right)$, $V_i^*\left(\theta\right) \equiv \ell^{\theta}\left(Z_i^*, \theta\right) - n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{\theta}\left(Z_i, \theta\right)$, $W_i^* \equiv \ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i^*\right) - n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i, \theta\right)$ and let $U^*\left(\theta\right) = n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_i^*\left(\theta\right)$, $V^*\left(\theta\right) = n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}V_i^*\left(\theta\right)$ and $W^*\left(\theta\right) = n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_i^*\left(\theta\right)$ we obtain for the following result for the bootstraped estimate $\widehat{\theta}^*$.

Proposition 2 *Assume that* $\dim\left(\theta\right) = 1$. *Under Conditions 1,2,3 and 4* $\exists \widetilde{\epsilon} \in \left[0, n^{-1/2}\right]$ *such that* $\widehat{\theta}^*$ *satisfies the expansion*

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}\right) = \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon}\left(0\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{24}\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) + \frac{1}{120}\frac{1}{n^2}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}\right) \quad a.s.$$

where $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon}\left(0\right) = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1}U^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)$, $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)U^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)^2 + 2\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-2}U^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)V^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)$ *etc. Moreover,* $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon}\left(0\right) = O_p(1)$, $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) = O_p(1)$, $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) = O_p(1)$, $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) = O_p(1)$ *and* $\max_{\epsilon \in \left[0, n^{-1/2}\right]}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) = O_p(1)$ *where all orders of probability hold* $P^{\mathbb{N}}$ *a.s., where* $P^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is defined in Proposition 6 in the Appendix.*

2.2 Bootstrap Bias Correction

Bootstrap Bias estimation and Bias correction was analyzed in the context of linear models by Shao (1988a,b). Let E^* be the expectation operator with respect to $\widehat{F}$. The idea behind the Bootstrap bias

correction is to estimate $E\left[\widehat{\theta}\right] - \theta_0$, if it exists, by $E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^*\right] - \widehat{\theta}$. Since in our case we can not guarantee existence of $E\left[\widehat{\theta}\right]$ we show instead that $E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^*\right]$ is close to $b\left(\theta\right)$. This in turn will allow us to construct the bias corrected estimate $2\widehat{\theta} - E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^*\right]$.

Since θ is a potentially highly nonlinear function of the underlying density we introduce a truncated version of the bootstrap bias estimator. A similar form of truncation was suggested by Shao (1988b). However, Shao required that the truncation point was bounded in probability as the sample size grows. We allow for growing truncation points in order to guarantee the asymptotically higher order unbiasedness of our procedure.

We introduce the statistic $C\left(Z\right)$, where $C\left(Z\right) = O_p(1)$ and $Z \equiv \{Z_1, ..., Z_n\}$. The truncated bootstrap bias estimate is then defined as

$$b^* \equiv E^*\left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}\right)\right]$$

where

$$h_n\left(x\right) = \begin{cases} -n^\alpha C\left(Z\right) & \text{if } x < -n^\alpha C\left(Z\right) \\ x & \text{if } |x| < n^\alpha C\left(Z\right) \\ n^\alpha C\left(Z\right) & \text{if } x > n^\alpha C\left(Z\right) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Possible choices for $C\left(Z\right)$ are estimates such as the standard deviation of $\widehat{\theta}$. We first establish that $E^*\left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}\right)\right]$ estimates the higher order bias $b\left(\theta\right)$ consistently.

Proposition 3 *Assume that* $\dim\left(\theta\right) = 1$. *Also assume Conditions 1,2,3 and 4 hold. Let h_n be defined in 7 with $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{41}{30}\right)$. Then*

$$b^* = \frac{b\left(\theta_0\right)}{n} + o_p\left(n^{-1}\right).$$

Proof. See Appendix A.4. ∎

Note that the restrictions imposed on α depend on the smoothness of the underlying model and could be relaxed at the cost of additional restrictions on the densities $f(z, \theta)$. While this result establishes that we can consistently estimate the higher order bias it is not sufficient to guarantee good higher order properties of the bias corrected estimator. For this reason we establish the next result.

Proposition 4 *Assume that* $\dim\left(\theta\right) = 1$. *Let h_n be defined as in 7. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - E^*\left[h_n\left(\theta^* - \widehat{\theta}\right)\right] - \theta_0\right) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}}U(\theta_0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) - b(\theta_0)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6n}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) - \frac{1}{2n}\mathbb{B} + o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{B}$ is defined in (44) in the Appendix.

Proof. See Appendix A.4. ∎

Because

$$E\left[\frac{\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0)}{2} - b(\theta_0)\right] = 0,$$

we can see that the bootstrap successfully removes bias. It therefore follows that

$$E\left[\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{\theta} - E^* h_n\left(\theta^* - \widehat{\theta}\right) - \theta_0\right)\right)^2\right] \approx \text{Var}\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{\theta} - \theta_0\right)\right) - \frac{1}{2n}E\left[\mathbb{B}\theta^{\epsilon}\right].$$

2.3 Jackknife Bias Correction

An alternative to the bootstrap is a jackknife bias corrected estimator. We develop the higher order theory for such an estimator in this section and compare its higher order properties to the properties of the bootstrap bias corrected procedure. Let $\widehat{\theta}_{(i)}$ denote the MLE based on delete-i sample. The jackknife bias corrected estimator is given by

$$\theta_J = n\widehat{\theta} - \frac{n-1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\widehat{\theta}_{(i)}$$

The following proposition establishes the higher order properties of the Jackknife bias corrected ML estimator.

Proposition 5 *Assume that* $\dim(\theta) = 1$. *Also assume Condition 1 holds. Then the jackknife bias corrected ML estimator has a higher order expansion as in*

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_J - \theta_0\right) &= \theta^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b(\theta_0)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{J} + o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{J}$ *is defined in (52) in the Appendix.*

Proof. See Appendix A.4. ∎

It follows at once that

$$\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{B}, \tag{8}$$

which means that the Jackknife and Bootstrap bias corrected versions of the ML estimator are higher order equivalent. They do not only have the same higher order variance but agree more generally in terms of their higher order distribution at least as far as the stochastic approximation allows to make such comparisons.

3 Higher Order Efficiency

In this section we obtain the higher order asymptotic properties of the bias corrected estimator of Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978). Since that estimator was shown to be higher order efficient we will conclude that our bias corrected estimator is higher order efficient if it has the same higher order variance as the Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer estimator.

In general, when $\dim(\theta) \geq 1$, we still have an expansion similar to Proposition 2:

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) = \theta^\epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

The asymptotic bias of MLE is then equal to

$$\frac{b(\theta_0)}{n} = E\left[\frac{\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0)}{2n}\right]$$

Suppose[1] that there is some vector of functions $m(z,\theta)$ and another vector of functions $\tau(m)$ with

$$b(\theta) \equiv \tau\left(\int m(z,\theta) f(z,\theta) dz\right).$$

This leads to a bias corrected estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}_c \equiv \widehat{\theta} - \frac{b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)}{n}$$

Implementation of the Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer procedure is potentially complicated if the integral $\int m(z,\theta) f(z,\theta) dz$ is difficult to compute. An alternative is therefore to replace the integral by sample averages. For

$$\widehat{b}(\theta) \equiv \tau\left(n^{-1}\sum_i m(Z_i,\theta)\right),$$

an alternative bias correction is then

$$\widehat{\theta}_a \equiv \widehat{\theta} - \frac{\widehat{b}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)}{n}$$

We can show that $\widehat{\theta}_a$ and $\widehat{\theta}_c$ have the same mean squared error up to order $O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ by analyzing their higher order variance. Let

$$\overline{m}(\theta) \equiv E\left[m(Z_i,\theta)\right] = \int m(z,\theta) f(z,\theta_0) dz \tag{9}$$

with j-th element $\overline{m}_j(\theta)$ and write $\overline{m} = \overline{m}(\theta_0)$, $\tau_m \equiv \partial\tau(\overline{m})/\partial m'$, $M \equiv E\left[\frac{\partial m(Z_i,\theta_0)}{\partial\theta'}\right] = \int \frac{\partial m(z,\theta_0)}{\partial\theta'} f(z,\theta_0) dz$, and $\Lambda \equiv E\left[m(Z_i,\theta_0)\ell(Z_i,\theta_0)'\right]$.

---

[1] When $\dim(\theta) = 1$, we have seen that $b(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}_\theta^2}E_\theta\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right] + \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_\theta^2}E_\theta\left[\ell\ell^\theta\right]$. Therefore, we have $b(\theta) \equiv \tau\left(\int m(z,\theta)f(z,\theta)dz\right)$, where $\tau(t_1,t_2,t_3) \equiv \frac{1}{2t_1^2}t_2 + \frac{1}{t_1^2}t_3$, $t_1 \equiv \int \ell(z,\theta)^2 f(z,\theta) dz$, $t_2 \equiv \int \ell^{\theta\theta}(z,\theta) f(z,\theta) dz$, $t_3 \equiv \int \ell(z,\theta)\ell^\theta(z,\theta) f(z,\theta) dz$, and $m(z,\theta) \equiv \left(\ell(z,\theta)^2, \ell^{\theta\theta}(z,\theta), \ell(z,\theta)\ell^\theta(z,\theta)\right)'$.

Theorem 1 *Assume Conditions 1,3 and 4 hold. Let h_n be defined in 7 with $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{41}{30}\right)$. Then,*

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_c - \theta_0\right) = \theta^\epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b(\theta_0)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - C_n\right) + o_p\left(n^{-1}\right),$$

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_a - \theta_0\right) = \theta^\epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b(\theta_0)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - A_n\right) + o_p\left(n^{-1}\right)$$

where $A_n = \tau_m\left(M\left(\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0)\right) + n^{-1/2}\sum_i(m(z_i, \theta_0) - \overline{m})\right)$, $C_n = \tau_m(M + \Lambda)\left(\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0)\right)$, and

$$E\left[C_n\theta^\epsilon(0)'\right] = E\left[A_n\theta^\epsilon(0)'\right] = \tau_m(M + \Lambda)\mathcal{I}^{-1}.$$

Proof. See Appendix A.4. ∎

This result implies that the four bias corrected estimators all have the same higher order variance term. Assume for simplicity that $\dim(\theta) = 1$. Because

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_c - \theta_0\right) = \theta^\epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b(\theta_0)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - C_n\right) + o_p\left(n^{-1}\right),$$

we can define the approximate MSE of $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_c - \theta_0\right)$ to be the mean square of the RHS ignoring the $o_p\left(n^{-1}\right)$. It is easy to see that the approximate MSE of $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_c - \theta_0\right)$ is equal to

$$E\left[(\theta^\epsilon(0))^2\right] + \frac{1}{n}E\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b(\theta_0)\right)^2\right] + E\left[\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - C_n\right) \cdot \theta^\epsilon(0)\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Var}(\theta^\epsilon(0)) + \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Cov}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0), \theta^\epsilon(0)\right) - \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Cov}(C_n, \theta^\epsilon(0))$$

Likewise, we can see that the approximate MSE of $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_a - \theta_0\right)$ is equal to

$$\operatorname{Var}(\theta^\epsilon(0)) + \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Cov}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0), \theta^\epsilon(0)\right) - \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Cov}(A_n, \theta^\epsilon(0))$$

Theorem 1 indicates that $\operatorname{Cov}(C_n, \theta^\epsilon(0)) = \operatorname{Cov}(A_n, \theta^\epsilon(0))$, and therefore, the approximate MSEs are identical.

In order to understand the intuition behind this result, it is useful to understand $b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)$ as an efficient estimator of $b(\theta_0)$. This is because $\widehat{\theta}$ is an efficient estimator of θ_0. Assume that $\sqrt{n}\left(b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - b(\theta_0)\right)$ is asymptotically a nonsingular linear combination of $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right)$, i.e., $\sqrt{n}\left(b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - b(\theta_0)\right) = \Upsilon n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(Z_i, \theta_0) + o_p(1)$ for some nonsingular Υ. Here, $\psi(Z_i, \theta_0) \equiv \mathcal{I}^{-1}\ell(Z_i, \theta_0)$ denotes the efficient influence function. Suppose that b_n is *any* other regular[2] estimator of $b(\theta_0)$ such that $\sqrt{n}(b_n - b(\theta_0)) = n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(Z_i, \theta_0) + o_p(1)$ for some $\varrho(Z_i, \theta_0)$ such that $E[\varrho(Z_i, \theta_0)] = 0$. Asymptotic covariance between $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right)$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(b_n - b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right)$ is then equal to

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\varrho(Z_i, \theta_0) - \Upsilon\psi(Z_i, \theta_0), \psi(Z_i, \theta_0)) = \Upsilon\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Upsilon^{-1}\varrho(Z_i, \theta_0) - \psi(Z_i, \theta_0), \psi(Z_i, \theta_0)\right).$$

---

[2] See, e.g., Bickle, Klaassen, Ritov, and Wellner (1993, p. 21).

Because $\text{Cov}\left(\Upsilon^{-1}\varrho\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right) - \psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right), \psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right)\right) = 0$ by the intuition underlying Hausman-test, we obtain the conclusion that the asymptotic covariance is zero. In other words, we have

$$\text{Cov}\left(\varrho\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right), \psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right)\right) = \text{Cov}\left(\Upsilon\psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right), \psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right)\right) \tag{10}$$

Now, note that we have the expansions

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \frac{b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)}{n} - \theta_0\right) = \theta^\epsilon\left(0\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) - b\left(\theta_0\right)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) - \Upsilon n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right)\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \frac{b_n}{n} - \theta_0\right) = \theta^\epsilon\left(0\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) - b\left(\theta_0\right)\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(0\right) - n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varrho\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right)\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Because $\theta^\epsilon\left(0\right) = n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\psi\left(Z_i, \theta_0\right)$, equation (10) implies that covariances of the "adjustment terms" of order n^{-1} with $\theta^\epsilon\left(0\right)$ are equal to each other. It therefore follows that the approximate MSE of the bias corrected MLE is invariant to the method of bias correction. Theorem 1 turns out to be just a special case.

Given the preceding discussion, it is perhaps not surprising that the Bootstrap and Jackknife bias corrected Maximum Likelihood estimators have the same approximate MSE as $\widehat{\theta}_c$:

Theorem 2 *Assume that* $\dim\left(\theta\right) = 1$. *Assume Conditions 1,3 and 4 hold. Let* h_n *be defined in 7 with* $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{41}{30}\right)$. *Then,*

$$\frac{1}{2}E\left[\mathbb{B}\theta^\epsilon\left(0\right)\right] = \frac{1}{2}E\left[\mathbb{J}\theta^\epsilon\left(0\right)\right] = \tau_m\left(M + \Lambda\right)\mathcal{I}^{-1}.$$

Proof. See Appendix A.4. ∎

Remark 1 *Theorems 1 and 2 are irrelevant when relevant loss function is not approximate MSE. On the other hand, equation (8) indicates that the higher order equivalence of Bootstrap and Jackknife goes beyond the MSE comparison.*

4   Conclusions

We have shown that nonparametric bootstrap and jackknife procedures can be used to remove bias terms of stochastic order n^{-1} from a ML estimator. The bootstrap bias corrected ML estimator achieves the same higher order variance as the efficient estimators of Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978). This indicates that there is no need to derive the analytic bias formula. Estimated versions of the bias corrections do not increase the higher order variance and are therefore higher order efficient in a mean squared sense.

A Proofs

A.1 Some Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 1 *Assume that W_i are iid with $E[W_i] = 0$ and $E\left[W_i^{2k}\right] < \infty$. Then,*

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n W_i\right)^{2k}\right] = C(k)n^k + o(n^k)$$

for some constant $C(k)$.

    Proof. By adopting an argument in the proof of Lemma 5.1 in Lahiri (1992), we have

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n W_i\right)^{2k}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \sum_{\alpha} C(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j) \sum_I E\left[\prod_{s=1}^j W_{i_s}^{\alpha_s}\right], \tag{11}$$

where for each fixed $j \in \{1, ..., 2k\}$, $\sum_\alpha$ extends over all j-tuples of positive integers $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j)$ such that $\alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_j = 2k$ and $\sum_I$ extends over all ordered j-tuples $(i_1, ..., i_j)$ of integers such that $1 \leq i_j \leq n$. Also, $C(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j)$ stands for a bounded constant. Note, that if $j > k$ then at least one of the indices $\alpha_j = 1$. By independence and the fact that $EW_i = 0$ it follows that $E\prod_{s=1}^j W_{i_s}^{\alpha_s} = 0$ whenever $j > k$. This shows that $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n W_i\right)^{2k} = C(k)n^k + o(n^k)$ for some constant $C(k)$. ∎

Lemma 2 *Suppose that $\{\xi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of zero mean i.i.d. random variables. We also assume that $E\left[|\xi_i|^{16}\right] < \infty$. We then have*

$$\Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right| > \eta\right] = O\left(n^{-8}\right)$$

for every $\eta > 0$.

    Proof. Using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$E\left[\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right|^{16}\right] \leq Cn^8 + o(n^8),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Therefore, we have

$$n^8 \Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right| > \eta\right] \leq O(n^8 \frac{Cn^8}{n^{16}\eta^{16}}) = O(1).$$

∎

Lemma 3 *Suppose that, for each i, $\{\xi_i(\phi), i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of zero mean i.i.d. random variables indexed by some parameter $\phi \in \Phi$. We also assume that $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} |\xi_i(\phi)| \leq B_i$ for some sequence of random variables B_i that is i.i.d. Finally, we assume that $E\left[|B_i|^{16}\right] < \infty$. We then have*

$$\Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(\phi_n)\right| > n^{\frac{1}{12}-v}\right] = o\left(n^{-1+16v}\right)$$

for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$. For $\upsilon < \frac{1}{48}$ we have

$$\Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi_n\right)\right| > n^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right] = o(n^{-1}).$$

Here, ϕ_n is an arbitrary sequence in Φ.

Proof. By Markov's inequality, we have

$$\Pr\left[\sup_{\phi\in\Phi}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi\right)\right| > n^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right] = \Pr\left[\sup_{\phi\in\Phi}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi_n\right)\right| > n^{\frac{7}{12}-\upsilon}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{E\left[\sup_{\phi\in\Phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi\right)\right)^{16}\right]}{n^{\frac{28}{3}-16\upsilon}\eta^{16}} = \frac{\sup_{\phi\in\Phi}E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi\right)\right)^{16}\right]}{n^{\frac{28}{3}-16\upsilon}\eta^{16}},$$

where the last equality is based on dominated convergence. By Lemma 1, we have

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi\right)\right)^{16}\right] \leq Cn^8,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Therefore, we have

$$\Pr\left[\sup_{\phi\in\Phi}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_i\left(\phi\right)\right| > n^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right] \leq \frac{Cn^8}{n^{28/3-16\upsilon}\eta^{16}} = O\left(n^{-4/3+16\upsilon}\right).$$

∎

Lemma 4 *Let $\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) \equiv \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log f\left(Z_i,\theta\right)$. Suppose that Condition 1 hold. We then have for all $\eta > 0$ that*

$$\Pr\left[\sup_{\theta}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| \geq \eta\right] = o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\Pr\left[\sup_{\theta}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| \geq \eta\right] = \Pr\left[\sup_{\theta}\sqrt{n}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| \geq \eta n^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right]$$

where $\upsilon = -\frac{5}{12}$. Then the result follows by Lemma 3. ∎

Lemma 5 *Under Condition 1, we have*

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\theta\left(\epsilon\right) - \theta_0\right| \geq \eta\right] = o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

for every $\eta > 0$.

Proof. Let η be given, and let $\varepsilon \equiv G\left(\theta_0\right) - \sup_{\{\theta:|\theta-\theta_0|>\eta\}}G\left(\theta\right) > 0$. Letting $g\left(z,\theta\right) \equiv \log f\left(z,\theta\right)$, we have

$$\int g\left(z,\theta\right)dF_\epsilon\left(z\right) = \left(1-\epsilon\sqrt{n}\right)G\left(\theta\right) + \epsilon\sqrt{n}\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)$$

and

$$\left| \int g\left(z,\theta\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - G\left(\theta\right) \right| \leq \left(1 - \epsilon\sqrt{n}\right) \left| \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right) \right| \leq \left| \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right) \right|.$$

Here, the last inequality is based on the fact that $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. By Lemma 4, we have

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \sup_{\theta} \left| \int g\left(z,\theta\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - G\left(\theta\right) \right| \geq \eta \right] = o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

Therefore, for every $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ with probability equal to $1 - o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{|\theta - \theta_0| > \eta} \int g\left(z,\theta\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) &\leq \max_{|\theta - \theta_0| > \eta} G\left(\theta\right) + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon \\
&< G\left(\theta_0\right) - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon \\
&< \int g\left(z,\theta_0\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\max_{\theta} \int g\left(z,\theta\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) \geq \int g\left(z,\theta_0\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right)$$

by definition. It follows that

$$\max_{|\theta - \theta_0| > \eta} \int g\left(z,\theta\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) < \max_{\theta} \int g\left(z,\theta\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$$

for every $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. We therefore obtain that $\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} |\theta\left(\epsilon\right) - \theta_0| \geq \eta\right] = o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$. ■

Lemma 6 *Assume that Condition 1 holds. Suppose that $K\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right)$ is equal to*

$$\frac{\partial^m \log f\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right)}{\partial\theta^m}$$

for some $m \leq 6$. Then, for any $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left| \int K\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - E\left[K\left(Z_i;\theta_0\right)\right] \right| > \eta \right] = o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right).$$

Also,

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left| \int K\left(\cdot;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) d\Delta \right| > Cn^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon} \right] = o\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$. If $\upsilon < \frac{1}{48}$ then the above order is $o\left(n^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. Note that we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int K\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - E\left[K\left(Z_i;\theta_0\right)\right] \\
=\ & \int K\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - \int K\left(z;\theta_0\right) dF\left(z\right) \\
=\ & \int K\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - \int K\left(z;\theta_0\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + \int K\left(z;\theta_0\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) - \int K\left(z;\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) dF\left(z\right) \\
=\ & \int \frac{\partial K\left(z;\theta^*\right)}{\partial\theta} \left(\theta\left(\epsilon\right) - \theta_0\right) dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + \epsilon\sqrt{n} \int K\left(z;\theta_0\right) d\left(\widehat{F} - F\right)\left(z\right)
\end{aligned}$$

14

where θ^* is between θ_0 and $\theta(\epsilon)$. Therefore, we have

$$\left| \int K(z; \theta(\epsilon)) \, dF_\epsilon(z) - E[K(Z_i; \theta_0)] \right| \leq |\theta(\epsilon) - \theta_0| \cdot \left(E[M(Z_i)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(Z_i) \right)$$

$$+ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (M(Z_i) - E[M(Z_i)]) \right|$$

where $M(\cdot)$ is defined in Condition 1. Using Lemma 5, we can bound

$$\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}} \left| \int K(z; \theta(\epsilon)) \, dF_\epsilon(z) - E[K(Z_i; \theta_0)] \right|$$

in absolute value by some $\eta > 0$ with probability $1 - o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$.

Using Condition 1 and Lemmas 3, we can also show that $\left| \int K(\cdot; \theta(\epsilon)) \, d\Delta \right|$ can be bounded by $Cn^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon}$ for some constant $C > 0$ and υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$ with probability $1 - o\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$. Similarly, if $\upsilon < \frac{1}{48}$, then the statement holds with probability $o(n^{-1})$. ∎

Lemma 7 *Suppose that Condition 1 holds. Then, we have*

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} |\theta^\epsilon(\epsilon)| > Cn^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right] = o\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$$

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} |\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(\epsilon)| > C\left(n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon}\right)^2 \right] = o\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} |\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(\epsilon)| > C\left(n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon}\right)^6 \right] = o\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$. If $\upsilon < \frac{1}{48}$ then the above orders are $o\left(n^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. From (30), we have

$$\theta^\epsilon(\epsilon) = -\left[\int \ell^\theta(z, \epsilon) \, dF_\epsilon(z) \right]^{-1} \left[\int \ell(\cdot, \epsilon) \, d\Delta \right]$$

Using Lemma 6, we can bound the denominator by some $C > 0$, and the numerator by some $Cn^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon}$ with probability $1 - o\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$, from which the first conclusion follows. As for the second conclusion, we note from (31) that we have

$$0 = E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i, \epsilon)\right] (\theta^\epsilon(\epsilon))^2 + E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta(Z_i, \epsilon)\right] \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(\epsilon) + 2\left(\int \ell^\theta(z, \epsilon) \, d\Delta(z) \right) \theta^\epsilon(\epsilon)$$

The second conclusion follows by using Lemmas 6 along with the first conclusion. The rest of the Lemmas can be established similarly. Note that if $\upsilon < \frac{1}{48}$ then we can apply the specialized result of Lemma 6 in the same way as before. ∎

15

Lemma 8 *Suppose that Condition 1 holds. Let $\bar{m}_j(\theta)$ .be as defined in 9. Then*

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{I}} - \mathcal{I}\right) = -V(\theta_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(\theta_0)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0) + o_p(1),$$

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \mathcal{Q}_1(\theta_0)\right) = W(\theta_0) + \mathcal{Q}_2(\theta_0)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0) + o_p(1),$$

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{m}_1\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \overline{m}_1(\theta_0)\right) = 2E\left[U_i(\theta_0)V_i(\theta_0)\right]\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0) + o_p(1),$$

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{m}_3\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \overline{m}_3(\theta_0)\right) = \left(E\left[V_i(\theta_0)^2\right] + \left(E\left[\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\right)^2 + E\left[U_i(\theta_0)W_i(\theta_0)\right]\right)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0)$$

Proof. Let $\overline{m}_0(\theta) \equiv \int \ell^\theta(z,\theta)f(z,\theta_0)\,dz$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{I}} - \mathcal{I} &= -n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right) + E\left[\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0)\right] \\
&= -n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0) - \overline{m}_0(\theta_0)\right) + o_p\left(n^{-1/2}\right) - \left(\overline{m}_0\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \overline{m}_0(\theta_0)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is based on the usual stochastic equicontinuity. Also note that $\partial \overline{m}_0(\theta)/\partial\theta = \int \ell^{\theta\theta}(z,\theta)f(z,\theta_0)\,dz$ by dominated convergence. We therefore obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{I}} - \mathcal{I}\right) &= -n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0) - E\left[\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\right) - E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) + o_p(1) \\
&= -V(\theta_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(\theta_0)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0) + o_p(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \mathcal{Q}_1(\theta_0)\right) &= n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i,\theta_0) - E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\right) + E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) + o_p(1) \\
&= W(\theta_0) + \mathcal{Q}_2(\theta_0)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0) + o_p(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{m}_1\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \overline{m}_1(\theta_0)\right) &= 2E\left[\ell(Z_i,\theta_0)\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) + o_p(1) \\
&= 2E\left[U_i(\theta_0)V_i(\theta_0)\right]\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0) + o_p(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{m}_3\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \overline{m}_3(\theta_0)\right) &= \left(E\left[\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0)^2\right] + E\left[\ell(Z_i,\theta_0)\ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\right)\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) + o_p(1) \\
&= \left(E\left[V_i(\theta_0)^2\right] + \left(E\left[\ell^\theta(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]\right)^2 + E\left[U_i(\theta_0)W_i(\theta_0)\right]\right)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U(\theta_0)
\end{aligned}
$$

∎

A.2 Lemmas for Bootstrapped Statistics

Proposition 6 *Assume that Conditions 1,2,3 and 4 hold. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the class of measurable functions defined in Condition 4. Let $\rightsquigarrow$ denote weak convergence. Let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ be a probability space such that $Z_i : \left(\Omega^{\mathbb{N}},\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{N}},P^{\mathbb{N}}\right) \rightarrow (\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ are coordinate projections. Then, for $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{F} - F\right)f \rightsquigarrow Tf$ where*

16

T is a tight Brownian bridge with variance covariance function $F(t \wedge s) - F(s)F(t)$. Let BL_1 be the set of all function $h : l^\infty(\mathfrak{F}) \mapsto [0,1]$ such that $|h(z_1) - h(z_2)| \leq \|z_1 - z_2\|_{\mathfrak{F}}$ for every z_1 and z_2 where $l^\infty(\mathfrak{F})$ is the set of uniformly bounded real functions on $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\|.\|_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the uniform norm for maps from $\mathfrak{F}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\sup_{h \in BL_1} \left| E^* h \left[\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{F}^* - \widehat{F} \right) f \right] - Eh[Tf] \right| \to 0$, $P^{\mathbb{N}}$-a.s.

Proof. We first show that for $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{F} - F \right) f \rightsquigarrow Tf$ or in other words that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a Donsker class. Define $\mathfrak{F}_\delta = \left\{ f - g : f, g \in \mathfrak{F}, E\left[\|f - g\|^2 \right] < \delta \right\}$, $\mathfrak{F}_\infty = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^2 = \left\{ f^2 : f \in \mathfrak{F}_\infty \right\}$. In light of van der Vaart and Wellner (1996, Theorem 2.5.2), it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{F}_\delta$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^2$ are F measurable classes for every $\delta > 0$ and $E\left[M(z)^2 \right] < \infty$. The second requirement is satisfied by Condition 1. Since $\mathfrak{F}_\delta \subset \mathfrak{F}_\infty$ the first condition holds if for $f \in \mathfrak{F}_\infty^2$ and any vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any n the function $s(Z_1, ..., Z_n) = \sup_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Upsilon} \left| \sum_i^n a_i \left(\ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta_1) - \ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta_2) \right)^2 \right|$ is measurable. Let Υ_k be an increasing sequence of countable subsets of Υ whose limit is dense in Υ. Then

$$s_k(Z_1, ..., Z_n) = \sup_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Upsilon_k} \left| \sum_i^n a_i \left(\ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta_1) - \ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta_2) \right)^2 \right|$$

is measurable by Condition 3. By continuity of $\ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta)$ in θ it follows that $\liminf_k s_k(Z_1, ..., Z_n) = s(Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ such that measurability of s follows from Royden (1988, Theorem 20, p.68). Conditional weak convergence of $\widehat{\Delta}$ follows from Gine and Zinn (1990, Theorem 2.4). Note that by measurability of $\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{F} - F \right) f$ and Gine and Zinn (1990, p861) the convergence of $\sup_{h \in BL_1} \left| E^* h \left[\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{F}^* - \widehat{F} \right) f \right] - Eh[Tf] \right|$ is a.s. ∎

Lemma 9 *Assume that Condition 1 is satisfied. Suppose that, for each i, $\xi_i^*(\phi) = \tau(Z_i^*, \phi) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(Z_i, \phi)$, $i = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of bootstrapped transformations of random variables indexed by some parameter $\phi \in \Phi$ with $E^*[\xi_i^*(\phi)] = 0$. We also assume that $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} |\tau(Z_i, \phi)| \leq B_i$ for some sequence of random variables B_i that is i.i.d. Finally, we assume that $E\left[|B_i|^{16} \right] < \infty$. We then have*

$$P^* \left[\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^*(\phi_n) \right| > n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right] = o_p \left(n^{-1 + 16\upsilon} \right)$$

for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$. Moreover,

$$P^* \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^*(\phi_n) \right| > n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right] = o_p \left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}} \right).$$

Here, ϕ_n is an arbitrary sequence in Φ and P^* is the conditional probability measure of Z_i^* given Z_i.

Proof. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^*(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n (N_{ni} - 1) \tau(Z_i, \phi)$ where $N_{n1}, ..., N_{nn}$ is multinomially distributed with parameters $(n, 1/n, ..., 1/n) = (k, p_1, ..., p_n)$ and independent of Z_i such that $\Pr\left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{N_{ni} = n_i\} \right) = n! / \left(\prod_i n_i! \right) \prod_i n^{-n_i}$ where $\sum_i^n n_i = n$, $n_i \geq 0$. Let $\kappa_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_n}$ be the mixed higher order cumulant of $N_{n1}, ..., N_{nn}$ of order $r = r_1 + ... + r_n$ for $r_i \geq 0$, r_i integer. Mixed higher order cumulants can be obtained

from Guldberg's (1935) recurrence relation $\kappa_{r_1 r_2..r_i+1...r_n} = a_i \partial \left(\kappa_{r_1 r_2..r_i...r_n} \right) / \partial a_i$ where $a_i = p_i / p_1$. Let b be the number of non zero indices r_i. The arguments in Wishart (1949) imply that for $p_i = n^{-1}$ we have $\kappa_{r_1 r_2....r_n} \leq c n^{-b+1}$ for some constant c. For notational convenience we will represent cumulants with zero indices as lower order cumulants of the variables with non-zero indices, i.e. write $\kappa_{...r_{i\neq j}..} = \kappa_{r_1 r_2....r_n}$ where $r_j = 0$.

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^* \left[\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^* (\phi) \right| > n^{\frac{1}{12}-v} \right] &= P^* \left[\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^* (\phi_n) \right| > n^{\frac{7}{12}-v} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{E^* \left[\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^* (\phi) \right)^{16} \right]}{n^{\frac{28}{3}-16v} \eta^{16}} \\
&= \frac{\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} E^* \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^* (\phi) \right)^{16} \right]}{n^{\frac{28}{3}-16v} \eta^{16}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality uses the fact that $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi}$ does not involve $N_{n1}, ..., N_{nn}$. By adopting an argument in the proof of Lemma 5.1 in Lahiri (1992), we have

$$
E^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^* (\phi) \right)^{2k} = \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \sum_\alpha C(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j) \sum_I \prod_{t=1}^j \tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)^{\alpha_t} E^* \prod_{s=1}^j (N_{ni_s} - 1)^{\alpha_s}, \tag{12}
$$

where for each fixed $j \in \{1, ..., 2k\}$, $\sum_\alpha$ extends over all j-tuples of positive integers $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j)$ such that $\alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_j = 2k$ and $\sum_I$ extends over all ordered j-tuples $(i_1, ..., i_j)$ of integers such that $1 \leq i_j \leq n$. Also, $C(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j)$ stands for a bounded constant. Next we consider the mixed central moments $\mu(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j) = E^* \prod_{s=1}^j (N_{ni_s} - 1)^{\alpha_s}$. From Shiryaev (1989, Theorem 6, p.290) we obtain a relationship between cumulants and mixed moments. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j)'$, $r^{(p)} = \left(r_1^{(p)}, ..., r_j^{(p)} \right)$, $\left| r^{(p)} \right| = r_1^{(p)} + ... + r_j^{(p)}$ and $r^{(p)}! = r_1^{(p)}! ... r_j^{(p)}!$ such that

$$
\mu(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j) = \sum_{r^{(1)}+...+r^{(q)}=\alpha} \frac{1}{q!} \frac{\alpha!}{r^{(1)}! ... r^{(q)}!} \prod_{p=1}^q \kappa_{r_1^{(p)} r_2^{(p)} .... r_j^{(p)}}
$$

where $\sum_{r^{(1)}+...+r^{(q)}=\alpha}$ indicates the sum over all ordered sets of nonnegative integral vectors $r^{(p)}$, $\left| r^{(p)} \right| > 0$, whose sum is α. Since the order of 12 depends both on the number of nonzero terms in $\sum_I$ and the size of $\mu(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j)$ for each j, we analyze the term

$$
S(n, j) = \sum_I \prod_{t=1}^j \tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)^{\alpha_s} E^* \prod_{s=1}^j (N_{ni_s} - 1)^{\alpha_s}
$$

for each j. Note that $\left| \prod_{t=1}^j \tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)^{\alpha_s} \right|$ is bounded almost surely and therefore does not affect the analysis. Also, $\sum_I$ is a sum over n^j terms and thus is $O(n^j)$ if all these terms are nonzero. The crucial factor in determining the overall order is therefore $E^* \prod_{s=1}^j (N_{ni_s} - 1)^{\alpha_s}$. We start with $j = 1$. Then $\alpha_1 = 2k$, $q = 1...2k$ and $r^{(p)}$ are scalars. Consequently, $\kappa_{r_1^{(p)}} = c_1$ where c_1 is some constant and $S(n, 1) \leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^n |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{2k}$ for some other constant c_2. If $j \leq k$ then for $q = 1...2q$, $r^{(p)}$ are vectors with possibly

18

only one element different from zero. Again, $S(n,j) \leq c_2 \sum_I \prod_{t=1}^j |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{\alpha_s}$ for $j \leq k$. If $j \geq k$ then α contains at least $2(j-k)$ elements $\alpha_i = 1$. Now assume that for some p, $r_i^{(p)} = 1$ and $r_j^{(p)} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then $\kappa_{r_i^{(p)}} = E(N_{n i_s} - 1) = 0$ and thus $\prod_{p=1}^q \kappa_{r_1^{(p)} r_2^{(p)} \dots r_j^{(p)}} = 0$. On the other hand if $r_i^{(p)} = 1$ and $r_j^{(p)} \neq 0$ for at least one $j \neq i$ then $\kappa_{r_1^{(p)} r_2^{(p)} \dots r_n^{(p)}} \leq c_1 n^{-1}$. Since there must exists p' corresponding to the other $\alpha_{i'} = 1$ such that either $r_{i'}^{(p')} = 1$ and $r_j^{(p')} = 0$ for $i' \neq j$ or $r_{i'}^{(p')} = 1$ and $r_j^{(p')} \neq 0$ for at least one $j \neq i'$, it follows that $\prod_{p=1}^q \kappa_{r_1^{(p)} r_2^{(p)} \dots r_j^{(p)}} = c_3 n^{-2(j-k)}$, at most. It now follows that $S(n,j) \leq c_2 n^{-2(j-k)} \sum_I \prod_{t=1}^j |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{\alpha_s}$ for all $j > k$. Then,

$$E|S(n,j)| \leq c_2 \sum_I E\left(\prod_{t=1}^j |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{\alpha_s}\right) \leq c_2 n^j E |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{2k}$$

for $j \leq k$ and

$$E|S(n,j)| \leq c_2 n^{-2(j-k)} \sum_I E\left(\prod_{t=1}^j |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{\alpha_s}\right) \leq c_2 n^{2k-j} E |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{2k} \leq c_2 n^k E |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{2k}$$

for $j > k$. Together these results imply that

$$E\left|E^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^*(\phi)\right)^{2k}\right| \leq C(k) n^k E |\tau(Z_{i_t}, \phi)|^{2k}$$

where $C(k)$ is a constant that depends on k. By the Markov inequality it follows that $E^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^*(\phi)\right)^{2k} = O_p(n^k)$. We conclude that

$$P^*\left[\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(\phi_n)\right| > n^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right] \leq \frac{O_p(n^8)}{n^{\frac{28}{3}-16\upsilon}\eta^{16}} = O_p\left(n^{-\frac{4}{3}+16\upsilon}\right).$$

The second result follows immediately from

$$P^*\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(\phi_n)\right| > \eta\right] = P^*\left[\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(\phi_n)\right| > \eta n^{1/2}\right] \leq o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

by the previous result. ∎

Lemma 10 *Under Condition 1, we have*

$$P^*\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \widehat{\theta}\right| \geq \eta\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right).$$

Proof. For any $\eta > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $|\theta - \theta_0| > \eta/2$ implies $|G(\theta) - G(\theta_0)| > \delta$. Let $\widehat{G}^*(\theta) \equiv \int g(z, \theta) d\widehat{F}^*(z)$ and $\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*(\theta) \equiv \int g(z, \theta) d\widehat{F}_\epsilon(z)$. Then,

$$P^*\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \widehat{\theta}\right| \geq \eta\right] \leq P^*\left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left|G(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| > \delta\right].$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) &= \left(G\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)\right) + \left(\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right) - \widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right) - \widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right) + \left(\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

19

and

$$\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right| \le \left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right|,$$

we obtain

$$\max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left|G\left(\widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$\le \sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right| + \sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right|$$
$$+ \max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) - \widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| + \max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$\le \sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right| + \sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right|$$
$$+ \max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) - \widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| + \max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| + \left|\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$\le \sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right| + \sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right|$$
$$+ \max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) - \widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| + \left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| + \left|\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - G\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$\le 2\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right| + 2\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| + \max_{0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*\left(\epsilon\right)\right) - \widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| \qquad (13)$$

By Lemma 9, we have

$$P^*\left[\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\theta\right) - \widehat{G}\left(\theta\right)\right| > \frac{\delta}{6}\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right) \qquad (14)$$

Conditional on data, $\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \delta$ is a non-stochastic event. Therefore, we can write

$$P^*\left[\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \delta\right] = 1\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \delta\right\},$$

where $1\left\{\cdot\right\}$ denotes an indicator function. For every $\sigma > 0$, we have

$$\Pr\left[P^*\left[\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \frac{\delta}{6}\right] > \sigma n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right] = \Pr\left[1\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \frac{\delta}{6}\right\} > 0\right] \qquad (15)$$
$$= \Pr\left[\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \frac{\delta}{6}\right] = o(1)$$

where the last equality is implied by Lemma 4. It therefore follows that

$$P^*\left[\sup_{\theta \in \Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}\left(\theta\right) - G\left(\theta\right)\right| > \frac{\delta}{6}\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right). \qquad (16)$$

Finally,

$$\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)-\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right| \leq \max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)-\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|+\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)-\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$= \max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\sup_\theta\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*(\theta)-\sup_\theta\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|+\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)-\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$\leq \max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\sup_\theta\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*(\theta)-\sup_\theta\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|+\left|\widehat{G}^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)-\widehat{G}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|$$

$$\leq \max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|+\sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|$$

$$\leq \max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|+\sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|$$

$$\leq \sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|+\sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|$$

$$= 2\sup_\theta\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|$$

Here, the first equality is based on the definitions of $\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)$ and $\widehat{\theta}$. Because

$$P^*\left[\sup_{\theta\in\Upsilon}\left|\widehat{G}^*(\theta)-\widehat{G}(\theta)\right|>\delta\right]=o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

we can conclude that

$$P^*\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)-\widehat{G}_\epsilon^*\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right|>\frac{\delta}{3}\right]=o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right). \tag{17}$$

The conclusion follows by combining (13) - (17). ∎

Lemma 11 *Assume that Condition 1 is satisfied. Let $K(\cdot;\theta(\epsilon))$ be defined as in Lemma 6. Then, for any $\eta>0$, we have*

$$P^*\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\int K(z;\theta^*(\epsilon))\,d\widehat{F}_\epsilon(z)-E[K(z;\theta_0)]\right|>\eta\right]=o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right).$$

Also,

$$P^*\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\int K\left(\cdot;\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}\right|>Cn^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right]=o_p\left(\max\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}},n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)\right)$$

for some constant $C>0$ and for every υ such that $\upsilon<\frac{1}{16}$.

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6

$$\int K\left(z;\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)d\widehat{F}_\epsilon(z)-\int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\widehat{F}(z)$$

$$= \int\frac{\partial K(z;\theta^*)}{\partial\theta}\left(\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)-\theta_0\right)d\widehat{F}_\epsilon(z)+\epsilon\sqrt{n}\int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\left(\widehat{F}^*-\widehat{F}\right)(z)$$

21

where θ^* is between θ_0 and $\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)$. Therefore, we have

$$\left|\int K(z;\theta(\epsilon))\,dF_\epsilon(z) - \int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\widehat{F}(z)\right| \leq \left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \theta_0\right| \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Z_i^*)\right)$$
$$+ \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Z_i^*) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Z_i)\right|$$

where $M(\cdot)$ is defined in Condition 1. Let $\bar{M} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Z_i)$ and $\bar{M}^* = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} M(Z_i^*)$. Then, for any η and some c

$$P^*\left[\left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \theta_0\right|\bar{M} > \eta\right] \leq P^*\left[\left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \theta_0\right| > \eta/c\right] + P^*\left[\left|\bar{M} - E\left[M(Z_i)\right]\right| > c\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

since $P^*\left[\left|\bar{M} - E\left[M(Z_i)\right]\right| > c\right] = 1$ with probability equal to $P\left[\left|\bar{M} - E\left[M(Z_i)\right]\right| > c\right] = o\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$ by Lemma 2 and zero otherwise for some c. Then, $P^*\left[\left|\bar{M} - E\left[M(Z_i)\right]\right| > c\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$ by the same argument as in 15 Moreover,

$$P^*\left[\left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \theta_0\right|\left|\bar{M}^* - \bar{M}\right| > \eta\right] \leq P^*\left[\left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \theta_0\right| > \eta/c\right] + P^*\left[\left|\bar{M}^* - \bar{M}\right| > c\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

by Lemmas 9 and 10. It thus follows that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$P^*\left(\left|\int K(z;\theta(\epsilon))\,dF_\epsilon(z) - \int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\widehat{F}(z)\right| > \eta\right) = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right).$$

Finally note that $P^*\left(\left|\int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\widehat{F}(z) - EK(z;\theta_0)\right| > \eta\right) = 1$ with probability

$$P\left(\left|\int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\widehat{F}(z) - E\left[K(z;\theta_0)\right]\right| > \eta\right) = o(n^{-\frac{23}{3}})$$

by Lemma 2. Thus, by the same argument as in 15 $P^*\left(\left|\int K(z;\theta_0)\,d\widehat{F}(z) - EK(z;\theta_0)\right| > \eta\right) = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$.

For the second result fix $\delta > 0$ arbitrary. Then

$$P^*\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\int K\left(\cdot;\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}\right| > Cn^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right] \leq P^*\left[\sup_{|\theta-\widehat{\theta}|<\delta}\left|\int K(\cdot;\theta)\,d\widehat{\Delta}\right| > Cn^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right]$$
$$+ P^*\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \widehat{\theta}\right| \geq \delta\right]$$

where

$$P^*\left[\sup_{|\theta-\widehat{\theta}|<\delta}\left|\int K(\cdot;\theta)\,d\widehat{\Delta}\right| > Cn^{\frac{1}{12}-\upsilon}\right] = o_p\left(n^{-1+16\upsilon}\right)$$

follows directly from Lemma 9 and

$$P^*\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \widehat{\theta}\right| \geq \delta\right] = o_p\left(n^{-\frac{23}{3}}\right)$$

follows from Lemma 10. ∎

Lemma 12 *Suppose that Condition 1 holds. Then, we have*

$$P^* \left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left| \widehat{\theta}^\epsilon (\epsilon) \right| > C n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right] = o_p \left(\max n^{-\frac{23}{3}}, n^{-1+16\upsilon} \right)$$

$$P^* \left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left| \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon} (\epsilon) \right| > C \left(n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right)^2 \right] = o_p \left(\max n^{-\frac{23}{3}}, n^{-1+16\upsilon} \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$P^* \left[\max_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \left| \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (\epsilon) \right| > C \left(n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right)^6 \right] = o_p \left(\max n^{-\frac{23}{3}}, n^{-1+16\upsilon} \right)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$.

Proof. Let $\bar{M}_\epsilon = \int \ell^\theta (z, \epsilon) \, d\widehat{F}_\epsilon (z)$ such that

$$\widehat{\theta}^\epsilon (\epsilon) = -\bar{M}_\epsilon^{-1} \int \ell (\cdot, \epsilon) \, d\widehat{\Delta}$$

and for any $\delta > 0$ some $C > 0$ and for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{16}$

$$P^* \left[\left| \widehat{\theta}^\epsilon (\epsilon) \right| > C n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right] \leq P^* \left[\sup_\epsilon \left| \int \ell (\cdot, \epsilon) \, d\widehat{\Delta} \right| > \delta C n^{\frac{1}{12} - \upsilon} \right] + P^* \left[\sup_\epsilon \left| \bar{M}_\epsilon - E \left[\ell^\theta (z, \theta_0) \right] \right| \geq \delta \right]$$

$$= o_p \left(\max n^{-\frac{23}{3}}, n^{-1+16\upsilon} \right)$$

by Lemma 11. The rest of the Lemma can be established similarly. ∎

Lemma 13 *Let $X_i^* = \tau \left(Z_i^*, \widehat{\theta} \right)$ be some transformation of Z_i^*, where τ possibly depends on the sample $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ through $\widehat{\theta}$. Then*

$$E^* \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

where $X_i = \tau \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)$.

Proof. Note that

$$E^* [X_i^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$$

which in turn implies

$$E^* \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j.$$

∎

Lemma 14 *Let $X_{k,i}^* = \tau_k \left(Z_i^*, \widehat{\theta} \right)$ for $k = 1, 2$ be some transformation of Z_i^*, where τ_k possibly depends on the sample $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ through $\widehat{\theta}$. Then*

$$E^* \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i}^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2,i}^* \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i} X_{2,i} + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{2,i} \right)$$

where $X_{k,i} = \tau_k(Z_i, \widehat{\theta})$.

Proof. This is because

$$E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j},$$

which in turn implies that

$$E^* \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i}^* \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq i'}^{n} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* \right] E \left[X_{2,i'}^* \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq i'}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{2,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} + \frac{n(n-1)}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{2,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{2,j} \right).$$

The conclusion follows easily from this result. ∎

Lemma 15 *Let* $X_{k,i}^* = \tau_k \left(Z_i^*, \widehat{\theta} \right)$ *for* $k = 1, 2$ *be some transformation of* Z_i^*, *where* τ_k *possibly depends on the sample* $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ *through* $\widehat{\theta}$. *Then*

$$E^* \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i}^* \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{3,i}^* \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} X_{3,j} + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{3,j} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{3,j} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{2,j} \right) + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{2,j} X_{3,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{2,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{3,j} \right).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i}^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{3,i}^* \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* X_{3,i}^*$$

$$+ \sum_{i \neq i'} X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* X_{3,i'}^* + \sum_{i \neq i'} X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i'}^* X_{3,i}^* + \sum_{i \neq i'} X_{1,i'}^* X_{2,i}^* X_{3,i}^*$$

$$+ \sum_{i \neq i' \neq i'' \neq i} X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i'}^* X_{3,i''}^*$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* X_{3,i}^* \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} X_{3,j} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{1,j} X_{2,j} X_{3,j}$$

24

$$\sum_{i \neq i'} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* X_{3,i'}^* \right] = \sum_{i \neq i'} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i}^* \right] E^* \left[X_{3,i'}^* \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq i'} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} X_{2,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} X_{2,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i \neq i'} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i'}^* X_{3,i}^* \right] = \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i \neq i'} E^* \left[X_{1,i'}^* X_{2,i}^* X_{3,i}^* \right] = \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} X_{3,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{i \neq i' \neq i'' \neq i} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* X_{2,i'}^* X_{3,i''}^* \right] = \sum_{i \neq i' \neq i'' \neq i} E^* \left[X_{1,i}^* \right] E^* \left[X_{2,i'}^* \right] E^* \left[X_{3,i''}^* \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq i' \neq i'' \neq i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} \right)$$

from which we obtain

$$E^* \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{1,i}^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{2,i}^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{3,i}^* \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} X_{2,j} X_{3,j} + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} X_{2,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} X_{1,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} \right) + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} X_{3,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{2,j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{3,j} \right).$$

∎

Lemma 16 Let $U_i^*(\theta) \equiv \ell(Z_i^*, \theta)$, $V_i^*(\theta) \equiv \ell^\theta(Z_i^*, \theta) - \overline{\ell^\theta(\cdot, \theta)} \equiv \ell^\theta(Z_i^*, \theta) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell^\theta(Z_i, \theta)$, $W_i^*(\theta) \equiv \ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i^*) - \overline{\ell^{\theta\theta}(\cdot, \theta)} \equiv \ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i^*) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell^{\theta\theta}(Z_i, \theta)$ and let $U^*(\theta) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^*(\theta)$, $V^*(\theta) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^*(\theta)$ and $W^*(\theta) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i^*(\theta)$. Then (a)

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = 0,$$
$$E^* \left[V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = 0,$$
$$E^* \left[W^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = 0,$$

(b)

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2$$
$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)$$

25

(c)

$$E^* \left[U^* (\theta)^3 \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^3,$$

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \left(\ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \overline{\ell^\theta \left(\cdot, \widehat{\theta} \right)} \right)$$

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 W^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \left(\ell^{\theta\theta} \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \overline{\ell^{\theta\theta} \left(\cdot, \widehat{\theta} \right)} \right)$$

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \overline{\ell^\theta \left(\cdot, \widehat{\theta} \right)} \right)^2$$

Proof. For part (a) it follows from Lemma 13 that

$$E^* \left[U_i^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) = 0,$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of $\widehat{\theta}$. The remaining results can be established in the same way. For part (b) we note that it follows from Lemma 14 that

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2,$$

where the last equality is based on $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) = 0$. For the second part we use

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell^\theta \left(Z_j, \widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right).$$

For part (c) we use Lemma 15 to obtain

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^3 \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^3 + 3 \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \right)^3,$$

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ 2 \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right),$$

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 W^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 W_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ 2 \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) W_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right),$$

and

$$E^* \left[U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ 2 \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_j \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \right)^2$$

such that the result follows. ∎

A.3 Lemmas for Jackknifed Statistics

Lemma 17 *Let*

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \qquad W_{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{i \neq j} X_i$$

Then, we have

$$nW - \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} = W$$

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i \neq j} X_i = \frac{n-1}{n\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{\sqrt{n}} W$$

It therefore follows that

$$nW - \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} = nW - \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{\sqrt{n}} W = W$$

∎

Lemma 18 *Let*

$$W = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i} \right), \qquad W_{(j)} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{i \neq j} X_{1,i} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{i \neq j} X_{2,i} \right)$$

Then,

$$nW - \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \neq j} X_{1,i} X_{2,j}$$

27

Proof. We first prove that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{j} W_{(j)} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}W + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}$$

For this purpose, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j} X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j} X_{2,i}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_1 - X_{1,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_2 - X_{2,j}}{n-1}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{n}\frac{n^3\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2 - 2n^2\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}}{(n-1)^2}\\
&= \frac{n^2-2n}{(n-1)^2}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\\
&= \frac{n-2}{(n-1)^2}W + \frac{1}{n(n-1)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\overline{X}_1$ denotes the sample average of $X_{1,i}$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}W + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
nW - \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} &= \left(n - \frac{n(n-2)}{n-1}\right)W - \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\\
&= \frac{n}{n-1}W - \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\\
&= \frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i}\right) - \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\\
&= \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j} X_{1,i}X_{2,j}
\end{aligned}
$$

∎

Lemma 19 *Let*

$$
\begin{aligned}
W &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{3,i}\right),\\
W_{(j)} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j} X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j} X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j} X_{3,i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$nW - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)}$$

$$= \quad \frac{n^2+n}{(n-1)^2} W$$

$$- \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}\right) - \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{3,i} X_{1,i}\right)$$

$$- \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i} X_{2,i}\right) + \frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{1,i} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}\right)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i\neq j} X_{1,i}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i\neq j} X_{2,i}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i\neq j} X_{3,i}\right)$$

$$= \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n\overline{X}_1 - X_{1,j}}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{n\overline{X}_2 - X_{2,j}}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{n\overline{X}_3 - X_{3,j}}{n-1}\right)$$

$$= \quad \frac{n^3 - 3n^2}{(n-1)^3} \overline{X}_1 \overline{X}_2 \overline{X}_3$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(n-1)^3} \overline{X}_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i} X_{3,i} + \frac{1}{(n-1)^3} \overline{X}_2 \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{3,i} X_{1,i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(n-1)^3} \overline{X}_3 \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{1,i} X_{2,i} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)^3} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{1,i} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}$$

It therefore follows that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)} \quad = \quad \frac{n-3}{(n-1)^{3/2}} \sqrt{n} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right) \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right) \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^{3/2}} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^{3/2}} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{3,i} X_{1,i}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^{3/2}} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i} X_{2,i}\right) - \frac{1}{(n-1)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{1,i} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}\right)$$

and therefore,

$$nW - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{(j)}$$

$$= \quad \frac{n^2+n}{(n-1)^2} W$$

$$- \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}\right) - \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{3,i} X_{1,i}\right)$$

$$- \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{1,i} X_{2,i}\right) + \frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{1,i} X_{2,i} X_{3,i}\right)$$

∎

Lemma 20 *Let*

$$W = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{3,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{4,i}\right),$$

$$W_{(j)} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{3,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{4,i}\right)$$

Then,

$$nW - \frac{n^2}{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}W_{(j)}$$

$$= \frac{n\left(n^2+3n-1\right)}{\left(n-1\right)^3}W$$

$$-\frac{n^3}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right) - \frac{n^3}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{4,i}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^3}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}\right) - \frac{n^3}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{4,i}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^3}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{3,i}\right) - \frac{n^3}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n^3}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right) + \frac{n^3}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n^3}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{4,i}\right) + \frac{n^3}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{\left(n-1\right)^3}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{3,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{4,i}\right)
$$

$$
=\;\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_{1}-X_{1,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_{2}-X_{2,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_{3}-X_{3,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_{4}-X_{4,j}}{n-1}\right)
$$

$$
=\;\frac{n^{4}-4n^{3}}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{1}\overline{X}_{2}\overline{X}_{3}\overline{X}_{4}
$$

$$
+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{1}\overline{X}_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{1}\overline{X}_{3}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{4,i}+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{1}\overline{X}_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}
$$

$$
+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{2}\overline{X}_{3}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{4,i}+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{2}\overline{X}_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{3,i}+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{3}\overline{X}_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}-\frac{1}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{3}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{4,i}-\frac{1}{\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\overline{X}_{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{n\left(n-1\right)^{4}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}
$$

It therefore follows that

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}W_{(j)}\;=\;\frac{n^{2}-4n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{4}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right)+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{4,i}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{1}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}\right)+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{4,i}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{3,i}\right)+\frac{n}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\right)
$$

$$
-\frac{n}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right)-\frac{n}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right)
$$

$$
-\frac{n}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{4,i}\right)-\frac{n}{\sqrt{n}\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}X_{2,i}X_{3,i}X_{4,i}\right),
$$

from which the conclusion follows. ∎

Lemma 21 *Let*

$$W = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{3,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{4,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{5,i}\right),$$

$$W_{(j)} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{3,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{4,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{5,i}\right)$$

Then,

$$W - \frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}W_{(j)}$$

$$= \frac{n^3+6n^2-4n+1}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

(continued)

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^4}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^4}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{1,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{2,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{3,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{4,i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i\neq j}X_{5,i}\right)$$

$$=\ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_1-X_{1,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_2-X_{2,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_3-X_{3,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_4-X_{4,j}}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{n\overline{X}_5-X_{5,j}}{n-1}\right)$$

$$=\ \frac{n^5-5n^4}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_4\overline{X}_5$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_3\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}\right)+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_4\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{5,j}\right)+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_4\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{4,j}\right)+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_4\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{5,j}\right)+\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}\right)-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_3\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_3\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_4\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}\right)-\frac{n}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_3\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_3\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_4\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^5}\overline{X}_5\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^5}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}$$

34

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}W_{(j)}
$$

$$
=\quad \frac{n^3-5n^2}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{5,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{4,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{5,j}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)
$$

(continued.)

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_2\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_3\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_4\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{5,j}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n}}\left(\sqrt{n}\overline{X}_5\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n-1}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{1,j}X_{2,j}X_{3,j}X_{4,j}X_{5,j}$$

from which the conclusion follows. ∎

A.4 Proofs of Main Results

Proof of Proposition 1. Consider the function $G\left(\epsilon,\theta\right) \equiv \int \ell\left(\cdot,\theta\right)dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right)$. Note that $G(.,.) : \mathbb{R}\times\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. By Condition 2 there exists $\theta(\epsilon)\in\Upsilon$ such that $G(\epsilon,\theta(\epsilon)) = 0$ for all $\epsilon\in[0,1/\sqrt{n}]$. Since $\partial G(\epsilon,\theta)/\partial\theta \neq 0$ almost surely by assumption it follows by the implicit function theorem that there exists a continuously differentiable function $\theta(\epsilon) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G\left(\epsilon,\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right) = 0$ a.s. Since $G(\epsilon,\theta)$ is in fact m times continuously differentiable $\theta(\epsilon)$ inherits this property. Measurability of $\theta(\epsilon)$ follows from continuity of $f(z,\theta)$ and separability of Υ.

By Taylor's theorem there exists some $\tilde{\epsilon}\in[0,1/\sqrt{n}]$ such that $\widehat{\theta}\left(n^{-1/2}\right) = \theta(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{1}{k!n^{k/2}}\theta^{(k)}\left(0\right) + \frac{1}{m!n^{m/2}}\theta^{(m)}\left(\tilde{\epsilon}\right)$. By Lemmas 5 and 6 it follows that $\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq n^{-1/2}}\theta^{(k)}\left(\epsilon\right) = O_{p}(1)$ such that the remainder term $\frac{1}{m!n^{m/2}}\theta^{(m)}\left(\tilde{\epsilon}\right) = O_{p}\left(n^{-m/2}\right)$ for $m\leq 6$. To find the derivatives $\theta^{(k)}$, let

$$h\left(z,\epsilon\right) \equiv \ell\left(z,\theta\left(\epsilon\right)\right),$$

and rewrite the first order condition as

$$0 = \int h\left(z,\epsilon\right)dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right)$$

Differentiating repeatedly with respect to ϵ, we obtain

$$0 = \int\frac{dh\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon}dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + \int h\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{18}$$

$$0 = \int\frac{d^{2}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{2}}dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + 2\int\frac{dh\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon}d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{19}$$

$$0 = \int\frac{d^{3}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{3}}dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + 3\int\frac{d^{2}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{2}}d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{20}$$

$$0 = \int\frac{d^{4}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{4}}dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + 4\int\frac{d^{3}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{3}}d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{21}$$

$$0 = \int\frac{d^{5}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{5}}dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + 5\int\frac{d^{4}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{4}}d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{22}$$

$$0 = \int\frac{d^{6}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{6}}dF_{\epsilon}\left(z\right) + 6\int\frac{d^{5}h\left(z,\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{5}}d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{23}$$

Note that

$$\frac{dh\left(\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon} = \ell^{\theta}\theta^{\epsilon} \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{d^{2}h\left(\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{2}} = \ell^{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{\epsilon}\right)^{2} + \ell^{\theta}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{d^{3}h\left(\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^{3}} = \ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{\epsilon}\right)^{3} + 3\ell^{\theta\theta}\theta^{\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + \ell^{\theta}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{d^4 h\left(\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^4} = \ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^4 + 6\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + 3\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2 + 4\ell^{\theta\theta}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + \ell^\theta\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^5 h\left(\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^5} =\ & \ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^5 + 10\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^3\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + 15\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\theta^\epsilon\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2 \\
& + 10\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 10\ell^{\theta\theta}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 5\ell^{\theta\theta}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + \ell^\theta\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^6 h\left(\epsilon\right)}{d\epsilon^6} =\ & \ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^6 + 15\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^4\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + 45\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2 \\
& + 20\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^3\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 15\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^3 + 60\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \\
& + 15\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 10\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2 + 15\ell^{\theta\theta}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 6\ell^{\theta\theta}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \\
& + \ell^\theta\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here, θ^ϵ denotes the derivative of θ with respect to ϵ. Combining (18) - (21) with (24) - (27), we obtain

$$0 = E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right) + \int \ell\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right) \tag{30}$$

$$0 = E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2 + E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 2\left(\int\ell^\theta\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right) \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =\ & E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^3 + 3E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) \\
& + 3\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2 + 3\left(\int\ell^\theta\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =\ & E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^4 + 6E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 3E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2 \\
& + 4E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 4\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^3\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) \\
& + 12\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) + 4\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^\theta\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =\ & E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^5 + 10E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^3\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 15E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2 \\
& + 10E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 10E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) \\
& + 5E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 5\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^4\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) \\
& + 30\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) + 15\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) \\
& + 20\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) + 5\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^\theta\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \;=\;& E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^6 + 15 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^4\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\\
&+45 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2 + 20 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^3\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\\
&+15 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^3 + 60 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\\
&+15 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 10 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\\
&+15 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 6 E_\epsilon\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\\
&+E_\epsilon\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right]\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right) + 6\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^5\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)\\
&+60\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^3\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) + 90\theta^\epsilon\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)\\
&+60\left(\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) + 60\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right)\\
&+30\theta^\epsilon\left(\epsilon\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) + 6\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\left(\int\ell^\theta\left(z,\epsilon\right)d\Delta\left(z\right)\right) \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $E_\epsilon\left[\cdot\right]$ is defined such that

$$
E_\epsilon\left[g\left(Z_i,\epsilon\right)\right] \equiv \int g\left(z,\epsilon\right)dF_\epsilon\left(z\right)
$$

Evaluating expressions (30) - (33) at $\epsilon = 0$, we obtain

$$
\theta^\epsilon = \frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}}\int\ell d\Delta, \qquad (36)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} \;=\;& \frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2 + 2\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right)\theta^\epsilon\right) = \frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2 + 2\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right)\theta^\epsilon\\
\;=\;& \frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{\mathcal{I}^3}\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right)^2 + \frac{2}{\mathcal{I}^2}\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right)\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right), \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \;=\;& \frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^3 + 3\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + 3\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}d\Delta\right)\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2 + 3\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right)\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\\
\;=\;& \left(\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{\mathcal{I}^4} + \frac{3\left(E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]\right)^2}{\mathcal{I}^5}\right)\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right)^3 + \frac{9E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{\mathcal{I}^4}\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right)^2\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right)\\
&+\frac{3}{\mathcal{I}^3}\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right)^2\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}d\Delta\right) + \frac{6}{\mathcal{I}^3}\left(\int\ell d\Delta\right)\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right)^2 \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \;=\;& \frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^4 + 6\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^2\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + 3\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2\\
&+4\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 4\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\left(\theta^\epsilon\right)^3\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}d\Delta\right)\\
&+12\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\theta^\epsilon\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\int\ell^{\theta\theta}d\Delta\right) + 4\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^\theta\right]}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\int\ell^\theta d\Delta\right) \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} = \frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}(\theta^{\epsilon})^{5} + 10\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}(\theta^{\epsilon})^{3}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + 15\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}\theta^{\epsilon}(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon})^{2}$$

$$+10\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}(\theta^{\epsilon})^{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 10\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}$$

$$+5\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}\theta^{\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + 5\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}(\theta^{\epsilon})^{4}\left(\int \ell^{\theta\theta\theta\theta}d\Delta\right)$$

$$+30\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}(\theta^{\epsilon})^{2}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\int \ell^{\theta\theta\theta}d\Delta\right) + 15\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon})^{2}\left(\int \ell^{\theta\theta}d\Delta\right)$$

$$+20\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}\theta^{\epsilon}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\int \ell^{\theta\theta}d\Delta\right) + 5\frac{1}{-E\left[\ell^{\theta}\right]}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\int \ell^{\theta}d\Delta\right) \tag{40}$$

■

Proof of Proposition 2. By the same arguments as in the proof of proposition 1 it follows that there exists some $\tilde{\epsilon} \in \left[0, n^{-1/2}\right]$ such that $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}^{*} - \widehat{\theta}\right) = \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon}(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{1}{k!n^{k/2}}\widehat{\theta}^{(k)}(0) + \frac{1}{m!n^{m/2}}\widehat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tilde{\epsilon})$ a.s., where $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon}(0)$ is obtained from evaluating $\int \frac{dh(z,\epsilon)}{d\epsilon}d\widehat{F}_{\epsilon}(z) + \int h(z,\epsilon)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)$ at $\epsilon = 0$. We obtain

$$0 = \int \ell^{\theta}(z,\widehat{\theta})d\widehat{F}(z)\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon}(0) + \int \ell\left(z,\widehat{\theta}\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z),$$

where $\int \ell^{\theta}(z,\widehat{\theta})d\widehat{F}(z) \equiv n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{\theta}(Z_i,\widehat{\theta})$ and $\widehat{\Delta}(z) \equiv \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{F}^{*}(z) - \widehat{F}(z)\right)$. Similar expressions can be found for higher order derivatives of $\widehat{\theta}(\epsilon)$. These expressions depend on $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{(k)}\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right)$ and $\int \ell^{(k)}\left(z,\widehat{\theta}\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)$ for $k = 0, 1, ..., 6$. By Condition 1 and Lemma 5, it follows that $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell^{(k)}\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right) \xrightarrow{p} E\left[\ell^{(k)}(Z_i,\theta_0)\right]$ by a uniform law of large numbers. By Proposition 6 the class $\mathfrak{F}$ is Donsker. By the proof of Theorem 2.4 in Gine and Zinn (1990) it follows that the following conditional stochastic equicontinuity property

$$P^{\mathbb{N}}\text{a.s.,} \lim_{\delta\downarrow 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}P^{*}\left(\sup_{|t-s|<\delta}\left|\int \left(\ell^{(k)}(z,t) - \ell^{(k)}(z,s)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)\right| > \eta\right) = 0$$

holds. Then

$$P^{*}\left(\left|\int \left(\ell^{(k)}\left(z,\widehat{\theta}\right) - \ell^{(k)}(z,\theta_0)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)\right| > \eta\right)$$

$$\leq P^{*}\left(\sup_{|\theta-\theta_0|<\delta}\left|\int \left(\ell^{(k)}(z,t) - \ell^{(k)}(z,s)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)\right| > \eta/2\right) + P^{*}\left(\left|\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right| \geq \eta/2\right) \tag{41}$$

or

$$\int \ell^{(k)}\left(z,\widehat{\theta}\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z) = \int \ell^{(k)}(z,\theta_0)d\widehat{\Delta}(z) + o_p(1) \; P^{\mathbb{N}}\text{a.s.}$$

It now follows from Proposition 6 and Theorem 2.4 of Gine and Zinn (1990) that $\int \ell^{(k)}(z,\theta_0)d\widehat{\Delta}(z) \rightsquigarrow \int \ell^{(k)}(z,\theta_0)dT(z)$ almost surely, where $T(z)$ is a Brownian Bridge process. We finally have to analyze the term $\widehat{\theta}^{(m)}(\tilde{\epsilon})$ which contains expressions of the form $\int \ell^{(k)}(z,\widehat{\theta}^{*}(\epsilon))d\widehat{F}_{\epsilon}(z)$ and $\int \ell^{(k)}\left(z,\widehat{\theta}^{*}(\epsilon)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)$. For $\int \ell^{(k)}\left(z,\widehat{\theta}^{*}(\epsilon)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z)$ we use the same inequality as in (41) together with Lemma 10 to show that

$$\int \ell^{(k)}\left(z,\widehat{\theta}^{*}(\epsilon)\right)d\widehat{\Delta}(z) = \int \ell^{(k)}(z,\theta_0)d\widehat{\Delta}(z) + o_p(1) \; P^{\mathbb{N}}\text{a.s.}$$

Next consider

$$\left| \int \ell^{(k)}(z, \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) d\widehat{F}_\epsilon(z) - \ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0) dF(z) \right|$$

$$\leq |\epsilon| \left| \int \ell^{(k)}(z, \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) d\widehat{\Delta}(z) \right| + \left| \int \ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0) d\left(F(z) - \widehat{F}(z) \right) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int \left[\ell^{(k)}(z, \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) - \ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0) \right] d\widehat{F}(z) \right|$$

where $\int \ell^{(k)}(z, \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) d\widehat{\Delta}(z) = O_p(1)$ $P^{\mathbb{N}}$a.s. by Proposition 6 and $\sup |\epsilon| = O(n^{-1/2})$. The second term is $o_p(1)$ by a law of large numbers. Finally,

$$P^* \left(\sup_\epsilon \left| \int \left[\ell^{(k)}(z, \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) - \ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0) \right] d\widehat{F}(z) \right| > \eta \right)$$

$$\leq P^* \left(\sup_{|\theta - \theta_0| < \delta} \left| \int \left[\ell^{(k)}(z, \theta) - \ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0) \right] d\widehat{F}(z) \right| > \eta \right) + P^* \left(\sup_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1/\sqrt{n}} \left| \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon) - \theta_0 \right| \geq \delta \right)$$

where the first probability goes to zero because for any $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$E \sup_{|\theta - \theta_0| < \delta} \left| \int \left[\ell^{(k)}(z, \theta) - \ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0) \right] d\widehat{F}(z) \right| = \sup_{|\theta - \theta_0| < \delta} \left(E\ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta) - E\ell^{(k)}(Z_i, \theta_0) \right) \leq \varepsilon$$

and the second probability goes to zero by Lemma 10. It follows that $\int \ell^{(k)}(z, \widehat{\theta}^*(\epsilon)) d\widehat{F}_\epsilon(z) \xrightarrow{p} E\ell^{(k)}(z, \theta_0)$ $P^{\mathbb{N}}$a.s. Together, these results imply that $\sup_\epsilon \left| \widehat{\theta}^{(k)}(\epsilon) \right| = O_p(1)$ $P^{\mathbb{N}}$a.s. for $k \leq 6$. This establishes the validity of the expansion. ∎

Proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$\widehat{\theta}_a^* \equiv n^{-1/2} \widehat{\theta}^\epsilon(0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) + \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{n^2} \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0).$$

Because $|h_n(x) - h_n(y)| \leq 2n^\alpha C(Z) \wedge \|x - y\|$, we have

$$\left| h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta} \right) - h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right) \right| \leq \min \left(2n^\alpha C(Z), \frac{1}{96 n^{5/2}} \sup_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1/\sqrt{n}} \left\| \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(\epsilon) \right\| \right).$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\frac{7}{96} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ arbitrary. Taking expectations with respect to the measure $\widehat{F}$ leads to

$$\left| E^* \left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta} \right) \right] - E^* \left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right) \right] \right| \leq \varepsilon/n^{2-\delta} + 2n^\alpha C(Z) \cdot P^* \left[\frac{1}{96 n^{5/2}} \sup_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1/\sqrt{n}} \left\| \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(\epsilon) \right\| > \varepsilon/n^{2-\delta} \right].$$

Use the fact that $P^* \left[\frac{1}{24 n^{5/2}} \sup_{0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1/\sqrt{n}} \left\| \widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(\epsilon) \right\| > \varepsilon/n^{2-\delta} \right] = o_p\left(n^{-76/60 - (16/5)\delta} \right)$ by setting $-v = 1/60 + \delta/5$ in Lemma 12. Choose $\delta \in (7/96 + (5/16)\alpha, 1/2)$. It follows that

$$\left| E^* \left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta} \right) \right] - E^* \left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right) \right] \right| \leq \varepsilon/n^{2-\delta} + 2o_p\left(n^{-76/60 - (16/5)\delta + \alpha} \right) C(Z)$$

$$= O_p(n^{\delta-2}) = o_p(n^{-3/2})$$

41

Next, we need to show that $E^* \left[h_n \left(\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right) \right] - E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right] = o_p \left(n^{3/2} \right)$. Note that

$$\left| E^* \left[h_n \left(\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right) \right] - E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right] \right| \leq E^* \left[\left| n^\alpha C\left(Z \right) - \widehat{\theta}_a^* \right| \mathbf{1} \left\{ \left| \widehat{\theta}_a^* \right| \geq n^\alpha C\left(Z \right) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq E^* \left[\left| \widehat{\theta}_a^* \right| \mathbf{1} \left\{ \left| \widehat{\theta}_a^* \right| \geq n^\alpha C\left(Z \right) \right\} \right] + n^\alpha C\left(Z \right) E^* \left[\mathbf{1} \left\{ \left| \widehat{\theta}_a^* \right| \geq n^\alpha C\left(Z \right) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq 2E^* \left[\frac{\left| \widehat{\theta}_a^* \right|^4}{\left(n^\alpha C\left(Z \right) \right)^3} \right].$$

Let $a \equiv \widehat{\theta}^\epsilon (0)$, $b \equiv \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0)$, $c \equiv \frac{1}{6}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0)$, and $d \equiv \frac{1}{24}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0)$. We have

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}a + \frac{1}{n}b + \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}c + \frac{1}{n^2}d \right)^4 = \frac{1}{n^2}a^4 + \frac{1}{n^4}b^4 + \frac{1}{n^6}c^4 + \frac{1}{n^8}d^4 + \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^7}ab^3$$

$$+ \frac{4}{n^5}ac^3 + \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^{13}}ad^3 + \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^5}a^3b + \frac{4}{n^3}a^3c$$

$$+ \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^7}a^3d + \frac{6}{n^3}a^2b^2 + \frac{6}{n^4}a^2c^2 + \frac{6}{n^5}a^2d^2$$

$$+ \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^{11}}bc^3 + \frac{4}{n^7}bd^3 + \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^9}b^3c + \frac{4}{n^5}b^3d$$

$$+ \frac{6}{n^5}b^2c^2 + \frac{6}{n^6}b^2d^2 + \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^{15}}cd^3 + \frac{4}{(\sqrt{n})^{13}}c^3d$$

$$+ \frac{6}{n^7}c^2d^2 + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^7}a^2bc + \frac{12}{n^4}ab^2c + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^9}ab^2d$$

$$+ \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^9}abc^2 + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^{11}}abd^2 + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^{11}}ac^2d$$

$$+ \frac{12}{n^6}acd^2 + \frac{12}{n^4}a^2bd + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^9}a^2cd + \frac{24}{n^5}abcd$$

$$+ \frac{12}{n^6}bc^2d + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^{13}}bcd^2 + \frac{12}{(\sqrt{n})^{11}}b^2cd.$$

Expectations of all terms of the from $E^* \left[a^i b^j c^k d^l \right]$ where $i, j, k, l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $i + j + k + l = 4$ are bounded in probability $E^* \left[a^i b^j c^k d^l \right] = O_p(1)$ such that $E^* \left[\frac{1}{n^2}a^4 \right] = O_p(n^{-2})$ is the largest term. It follows that $\left| E^* \left[h_n \left(\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right) \right] - E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right] \right| = O_p \left(n^{-2-3\alpha} \right) = o_p \left(n^{-3/2} \right)$. Because $E^* \left[\frac{1}{24n^2}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) \right] = O_p \left(n^{-2} \right)$, we have

$$E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_a^* \right] = E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_{aa}^* \right] + o_p(n^{-3/2})$$

where

$$\widehat{\theta}_{aa}^* \equiv \frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\widehat{\theta}^\epsilon (0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0).$$

In order to evaluate $E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_{aa}^* \right]$ we use Proposition 2 by which $\widehat{\theta}^\epsilon (0) = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1}U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)$, $\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon} (0) = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 + 2\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-2}U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)$ and

$$\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} (0) = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-4}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^3 + 3\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-5}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^3 + 9\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-4}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)$$

$$+ 3\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3}U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 W^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) + 6\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3}U^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) V^* \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2.$$

42

Note that $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ are constants with respect to E^*. It thus follows that

$$E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^\epsilon(0)\right] = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1}E^*\left[U\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\right] = 0$$

by Lemma 16(a). We consider $E^*\left[U\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)^2\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \ell\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right)^2$. By Proposition 6 and van der Waart and Wellner (1996, Theorem 1.5.7) it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup P\left(\sup_{|\theta-\theta_0|<\delta}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2\right| > \varepsilon\right) = 0$$

such that by Lemma 5 it follows that

$$E^*\left[U\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)^2\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2 + o_p(1).$$

Similar results can be established for the other expressions of Lemma 16. It therefore follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0)\right] &= \mathcal{I}^{-3}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta_0\right)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2 + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right) + o_p(1) \\
&= \mathcal{I}^{-2}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta_0\right) + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2}E\left[\ell\ell^\theta\right] + o_p(1) \\
&= 2b\left(\theta_0\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

It also follows that $E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0)\right] = O_p\left(n^{-1/2}\right)$ by the same arguments. Therefore

$$E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^*_{aa}\right] = \frac{b\left(\theta_0\right)}{n} + o_p\left(n^{-1}\right),$$

which establishes the result. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Proposition 4. First note that $E^*\left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}\right)\right] = E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^*_{aa}\right] + o_p\left(n^{-3/2}\right)$ by Theorem 3. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - E^*\left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}\right)\right] - \theta_0\right) &= \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - E^*\left[\theta^*_{aa}\right] - \theta_0\right) + \sqrt{n}\left(E^*\left[\theta^*_{aa}\right] - E^*\left[h_n\left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta}\right)\right]\right) \\
&= \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - E^*\left[\theta^*_{aa}\right] - \theta_0\right) + o_p(n^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

We have shown that

$$E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^\epsilon(0)\right] = 0,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^*\left[\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0)\right] &= \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right)^2 + 2\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-2}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\ell\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\widehat{\theta}\right) \\
&\equiv \mathcal{I}^{-2}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta_0\right) + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2}E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + B_n + o_p\left(n^{-1/2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

43

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) \right] &= \widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-4} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^3 \right) + 3\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-5} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^3 \right) \\
&\quad + 9\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-4} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \left(\ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \overline{\ell^\theta \left(\cdot, \widehat{\theta} \right)} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + 3\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right)^2 \left(\ell^{\theta\theta} \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \overline{\ell^{\theta\theta} \left(\cdot, \widehat{\theta} \right)} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + 6\widehat{\mathcal{I}}^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ell \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\ell^\theta \left(Z_i, \widehat{\theta} \right) - \overline{\ell^\theta \left(\cdot, \widehat{\theta} \right)} \right)^2 \right) \\
&= O_p \left(n^{-1/2} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} B_n &\equiv -3\mathcal{I}^{-3} \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{I}} - \mathcal{I} \right) \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{I}^{-2} \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) - \mathcal{Q} (\theta_0) \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{I}^{-3} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0)^2 - E \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0)^2 \right] \right] + \sqrt{n} \left[\bar{m}_1 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) - \bar{m}_1 (\theta_0) \right] \right) \\
&\quad - 4\mathcal{I}^{-3} \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{I}} - \mathcal{I} \right) E \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0) \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta) \right] \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2} \left(\sqrt{n} \left[\bar{m}_3 \left(\widehat{\theta} \right) - \bar{m}_3 (\theta_0) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{n} \left[n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell (Z_i, \theta_0) \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0) - E \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0) \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0) \right] \right] \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^* \left[\widehat{\theta}_{aa}^* \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathcal{I}^{-2} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2} E \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0) \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0) \right] \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left(\sqrt{n} B_n \right) + O_p \left(n^{-2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}
$$

Using Lemma 8, we obtain

$$
\sqrt{n} B_n = \mathbb{B} + o_p (1), \tag{43}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B} &\equiv 3\mathcal{I}^{-4} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0)^2 U (\theta_0) + \mathcal{I}^{-3} \mathcal{Q}_2 (\theta_0) U (\theta_0) + 6\mathcal{I}^{-4} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) E \left[U_i (\theta_0) V_i (\theta_0) \right] U (\theta_0) \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{I}^{-3} E \left[U_i (\theta_0) W_i (\theta_0) \right] U (\theta_0) + 2\mathcal{I}^{-3} E \left[\ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0)^2 \right] U (\theta_0) \\
&\quad + 3\mathcal{I}^{-3} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) V (\theta_0) + 4\mathcal{I}^{-3} E \left[U_i (\theta_0) V_i (\theta_0) \right] V (\theta_0) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{I}^{-2} W (\theta_0) \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2} n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \ell (Z_i, \theta_0) \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0) - E \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0) \ell^\theta (Z_i, \theta_0) \right] \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{I}^{-3} \mathcal{Q}_1 (\theta_0) n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0)^2 - E \left[\ell (Z_i, \theta_0)^2 \right] \right] \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{44}
$$

44

Combining (42) and (43), we obtain

$$
\left(\widehat{\theta} - E^* \left[h_n \left(\widehat{\theta}^* - \widehat{\theta} \right) \right] - \theta_0 \right)
$$

$$
= \quad \widehat{\theta} - \theta_0
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathcal{I}^{-2} \mathcal{Q}_1 \left(\theta_0 \right) + 2 \mathcal{I}^{-2} E \left[\ell \left(Z_i, \theta_0 \right) \ell^{\theta} \left(Z_i, \theta_0 \right) \right] \right)
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \mathbb{B} + o_p \left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \right),
$$

from which the conclusion follows. ∎

Proof of Proposition 5. Write $\theta^\epsilon = \theta^\epsilon (0)$, etc, for notational simplicity. Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\theta} &= \theta_0 + \theta^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{n^2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + \frac{1}{120} \frac{1}{n^2 \sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + \frac{1}{720} \frac{1}{n^3} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \left(\widetilde{\epsilon} \right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we should have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\theta}_{(j)} &= \theta_0 + \theta^\epsilon_{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n-1} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{120} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2 \sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{720} \frac{1}{(n-1)^3} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \left(\widetilde{\epsilon}_{(j)} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} \left(\widetilde{\theta} - \theta_0 \right) &= \sqrt{n} \left(n\widehat{\theta} - \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{\theta}_{(j)} - \theta_0 \right) \\
&= n \left\{ \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0 \right) - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sqrt{n-1} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{(j)} - \theta_0 \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sqrt{n-1} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{(j)} - \theta_0 \right) \\
&= n \left\{ \theta^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\theta^\epsilon_{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n-1} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\theta^\epsilon_{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{n-1} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \right) \\
&\quad + n \left\{ \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} + \frac{1}{120} \frac{1}{n^2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{120} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} + \frac{1}{120} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \right) \\
&\quad + n \left\{ \frac{1}{720} \frac{1}{n^2 \sqrt{n}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} \left(\widetilde{\epsilon} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{720} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2 \sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \left(\widetilde{\epsilon} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{720} \frac{1}{(n-1)^2 \sqrt{n-1}} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}_{(j)} \left(\widetilde{\epsilon} \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{\theta} - \theta_0\right)
$$

$$
= \left(n\theta^{\epsilon} - \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\left(n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{24}\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\left(n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{n^2}{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{120}\frac{1}{n^2}n\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{720}\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{720}\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}_{(j)}\right) \tag{45}
$$

From Lemma 7, we have

$$
\Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-6\upsilon}}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}\right)\right| > C\right] \leq \Pr\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right| > Cn^{\frac{1}{2}-6\upsilon}\right] = o\left(1\right)
$$

for every υ such that $\upsilon < \frac{1}{48}$. In particular, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}\right) = o_p\left(1\right) \tag{46}
$$

By Lemma 7 again, we obtain

$$
\Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-6\upsilon}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}_{(j)}\right)\right| > C\right] \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Pr\left[\left|\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-6\upsilon}}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}_{(j)}\right)\right| > C\right]
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Pr\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-6\upsilon}}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right| > C\right]
$$

$$
= n\Pr\left[\max_{0\leq\epsilon\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\left|\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-6\upsilon}}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)\right| > C\right]
$$

$$
= o\left(1\right)
$$

Here, the first equality is based on the fact that Z_i are i.i.d., so that $\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\epsilon\right)$ are identically distributed for $j = 1,\dots,n$. In particular, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}_{(j)}\right) = o_p\left(1\right) \tag{47}
$$

Combining (46) and (47), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{720}\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{720}\frac{1}{(n-1)^2\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\left(\widetilde{\epsilon}_{(j)}\right) = o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{48}
$$

Note that $\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}$ is a sum of V-statistic of order 4 as considered in Lemma 20. Likewise, $\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}$ is a sum of V-statistic of order 5 as considered in Lemma 21. Therefore, combining (39) and (40) with Lemmas 20

and 21, we obtain

$$n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{n^2}{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} = O_p\left(1\right)$$

$$n\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right) = O_p\left(1\right)$$

from which we further obtain

$$\frac{1}{24}\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\left(n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{n^2}{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right) = o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{1}{120}\frac{1}{n^2}n\left(\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{n\sqrt{n}}{(n-1)\sqrt{n-1}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right) = o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{50}$$

Combining (4), (5), (6) with Lemmas 17, 18, 19, we obtain

$$\left(n\theta^{\epsilon} - \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon} - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\left(n\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_{(j)}^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}\right)$$

$$= \theta^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\mathcal{I}^{-3}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]\left(U(\theta_0)^2 - E\left[U_i\left(\theta_0\right)^2\right]\right) + \frac{2}{\mathcal{I}^2}\left(U\left(\theta_0\right)V\left(\theta_0\right) - E\left[U_i\left(\theta_0\right)V_i\left(\theta_0\right)\right]\right)\right\} \tag{51}$$

$$+\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{J} + o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Combining (45) with (48), (50), (49), and (51), we obtain

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{\theta} - \theta_0\right) = \theta^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\mathcal{I}^{-3}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]\left(U(\theta_0)^2 - E\left[U_i\left(\theta_0\right)^2\right]\right) + \frac{2}{\mathcal{I}^2}\left(U\left(\theta_0\right)V\left(\theta_0\right) - E\left[U_i\left(\theta_0\right)V_i\left(\theta_0\right)\right]\right)\right\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{n}\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{J} + o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

where

$$\mathbb{J} = \left(\mathcal{I}^{-3}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\right] + 3\mathcal{I}^{-4}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]^2\right)U\left(\theta_0\right) + 6\mathcal{I}^{-4}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]E\left[U_iV_i\right]U\left(\theta_0\right)$$

$$+\frac{3}{\mathcal{I}^3}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]V\left(\theta_0\right) + \frac{2}{\mathcal{I}^3}E\left[U_iW_i\right]U\left(\theta_0\right) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}^2}W\left(\theta_0\right)$$

$$+\frac{2}{\mathcal{I}^3}E\left[\ell^{\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2\right]U\left(\theta_0\right) + \frac{4}{\mathcal{I}^3}E\left[U_iV_i\right]V\left(\theta_0\right)$$

$$+\mathcal{I}^{-3}E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right]n^{-1/2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2 - E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2\right]\right]\right)$$

$$+2\mathcal{I}^{-2}n^{-1/2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^{\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right) - E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^{\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]\right) \tag{52}$$

■

Proof of Theorem 1. An expansion of $b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)$ gives

$$b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - b\left(\theta_0\right) = \tau_m\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\int m\left(z,\theta\right)f\left(z,\theta\right)dz\right)}{\partial\theta'}\right|_{\theta=\theta_0}\right)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U\left(\theta_0\right) + O_p\left(n^{-1}\right)$$

$$= \tau_m\left(M + \Lambda\right)\mathcal{I}^{-1}U\left(\theta_0\right) + O_p\left(n^{-1}\right).$$

47

A similar expansion for $\widehat{b}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{b}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - b\left(\theta_0\right) &= \widehat{b}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) - \widehat{b}\left(\theta_0\right) + \widehat{b}\left(\theta_0\right) - b\left(\theta_0\right) \\
&= \tau_m \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i m\left(z_i, \widehat{\theta}\right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right) \right) \\
&\quad + \tau_m \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right) - E\left[m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right)\right] \right) + O_p\left(n^{-1}\right) \\
&= \tau_m M \mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) + \tau_m \left(n^{-1} \sum_i \left(m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right) - \overline{m} \right) \right) + O_p\left(n^{-1}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging these expansions into that for $\widehat{\theta}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_c - \theta_0\right) &= \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} b\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b\left(\theta_0\right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{6} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - \tau_m \left(M + \Lambda\right) \mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) \right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_a - \theta_0\right) &= \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \widehat{b}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - b\left(\theta_0\right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{6} \theta^{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}(0) - \tau_m \left(M \mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) + n^{-1/2} \sum_i \left(m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right) - \overline{m} \right) \right) \right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left(M \mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) + n^{-1/2} \sum_i \left(m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right) - \overline{m} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\mathcal{I}^{-1} U\left(\theta_0\right) \right)' \right] \\
&= M \mathcal{I}^{-1} E\left[U\left(\theta_0\right) U\left(\theta_0\right)' \right] \mathcal{I}^{-1} + E\left[\left(n^{-1/2} \sum_i \left(m\left(z_i, \theta_0\right) - \overline{m} \right) \right) U\left(\theta_0\right)' \right] \mathcal{I}^{-1} \\
&= \left(M + \Lambda \right) \mathcal{I}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

∎

Proof of Theorem 2. The asymptotic bias of the MLE is equal to

$$
\frac{b\left(\theta_0\right)}{n}
$$

where

$$
b\left(\theta\right) = \frac{1}{2} E\left[\theta^{\epsilon\epsilon}\right] = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}_\theta^2} E_\theta\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\right] + \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_\theta^2} E_\theta\left[\ell\ell^\theta\right]
$$

To show that $\frac{1}{2} E\left[\mathbb{B}\theta^\epsilon(0)\right] = \tau_m\left(M + \Lambda\right)\mathcal{I}^{-1}$, it suffices to prove that $E\left[\mathbb{B}U\left(\theta_0\right)\right] = 2\tau_m\left(M + \Lambda\right)$. We

first note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\mathbb{B}U\left(\theta_0\right)\right] &= 6\mathcal{I}^{-3}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta_0\right)E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + 2\mathcal{I}^{-3}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta_0\right)^2 \\
&\quad + 4\mathcal{I}^{-3}\left(E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]\right)^2 + \mathcal{I}^{-2}E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + \mathcal{I}^{-2}\mathcal{Q}_2\left(\theta_0\right)^2 \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2}\left\{E\left[\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2\right] + E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^2\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)^3\right] = -E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] - 3E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]$. In order to provide an alternative characterization of $2\tau_m\left(M+\Lambda\right)$, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M &= \left(2E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right], E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right], E\left[\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)^2 + \ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right)' \\
&= \left(2E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right], \mathcal{Q}_2\left(\theta\right), E\left[\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)^2\right] + E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right)',
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \left(E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)^3\right], E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\right], E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)^2\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right)' \\
&= \left(-\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta\right) - 3E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right], E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right], E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)^2\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right)'.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_m &= \left(-\frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + 2E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]}{E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta_0\right)^2\right]^3}, \frac{1}{2E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta_0\right)^2\right]^2}, \frac{1}{E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta_0\right)^2\right]^2}\right)' \\
&= \left(-\frac{\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta\right) + 2E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]}{\mathcal{I}^3}, \frac{1}{2\mathcal{I}^2}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}^2}\right)',
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is based on

$$
b\left(\theta_0\right) = \frac{E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + 2E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]}{2E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta_0\right)^2\right]^2} = \tau\left(E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)^2\right], E\left[\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right], E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\tau_m\left(M+\Lambda\right) &= 2\frac{\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta\right) + 2E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]}{\mathcal{I}^3}\left(\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta\right) + E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}^2}\left(\mathcal{Q}_2\left(\theta\right) + E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\mathcal{I}^2}\left(E\left[\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)^2\right] + E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right] + E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)^2\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right) \\
&= 2\mathcal{I}^{-3}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta\right)^2 + 6\mathcal{I}^{-3}\mathcal{Q}_1\left(\theta\right)E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right] + 4\mathcal{I}^{-3}\left(E\left[\ell\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\ell^\theta\left(Z_i,\theta_0\right)\right]\right)^2 \\
&\quad + \mathcal{I}^{-2}\mathcal{Q}_2\left(\theta\right) + \mathcal{I}^{-2}E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right] \\
&\quad + 2\mathcal{I}^{-2}\left(E\left[\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)^2\right] + E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)\ell^{\theta\theta}\left(z,\theta\right)\right] + E\left[\ell\left(z,\theta\right)^2\ell^\theta\left(z,\theta\right)\right]\right) \\
&= E\left[\mathbb{B}U\left(\theta_0\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

∎

References

[1] BICKEL, P.J., KLAASSEN, C.A.J., RITOV, Y., AND J. WELLNER (1993), *Efficient and Adaptive Estimation for Semiparametric Models*, Johns Hopkins University Press: Baltimore.

[2] GINE, E. AND ZINN, J. (1990), "Bootstrapping General Empirical Measures," *Annals of Probability* **18**, 851-869.

[3] GULDBERG, S. (1935), "Recurrence formulae for the semi-invariants of some discontinuous frequency functions of n variables," *Skandinavisk Aktuarietidskrift* **18**, 270-278.

[4] HALL, P., AND J. HOROWITZ (1996), "Bootstrap Critical Values for Tests Based on Generalized-Method-of-Moments Estimators," *Econometrica* **64**, 891-916.

[5] LAHIRI, S. N. (1992), "Edgeworth Correction by 'Moving Block' Bootstrap for Stationary and Non-stationary Data" in Raoul LePage and Lynne Billard *eds Exploring the Limits of Bootstrap*. Wiley: New York.

[6] LEE, A.J. (1990), *U-Statistics, Theory and Practice*, Marcel Dekker: New York and Basel.

[7] PFANZAGL, J., AND W. WEFELMEYER (1978), "A Third-Order Optimum Property of the Maximum Likelihood Estimator," *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **8**, pp. 1 - 29.

[8] SHAO, J. (1988a), "On Resampling Methods for Variance and Bias Estimation in Linear Models," *Annals of Statistics* **16**, 986-1008.

[9] SHAO, J. (1988b), "Bootstrap Variance and Bias Estimation in Linear Models," *The Canadian Journal of Statistics* **16**, 371-382.

[10] SHIRYAEV, A.N. (1989), *Probability*, Springer Verlag: New York.

[11] VAN DER VAART, A. AND J. WELLNER. (1996), *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics*, Springer Verlag: New York.

[12] WISHART, J. (1947), "Cumulants of Multivariate Multinomial Distributions," *Biometrika* **36**, pp. 47 - 58.