

## APPENDIX

Let the representative individual have preferences characterized by a modified Stone-Geary utility function:

$$u = [c(t) - \bar{Z}(t, x)]^\gamma \quad (1)$$

where  $c$  denotes consumption, and  $\bar{Z}$  is some capabilities or basic need. Capabilities vary through time and can be affected by some policy variables, represented by  $x$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $Z$  is proportional to income:

$$\bar{Z} = \beta(x)y(t). \quad (2)$$

The individual is assumed to maximize lifetime (dynastic) utility, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \int_0^\infty [c(t) - \bar{Z}(t, x)]^\gamma e^{-\delta t} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty [c(t) - \beta(x)y(t)]^\gamma e^{-\delta t} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In order to obtain the steady state capital labor ratio and the canonical equations for the economy's path for consumption and capital accumulation, we maximize the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= e^{-\delta t} \{ (c - \bar{Z})^\gamma + \lambda [y - nk - c] \} \\ &= e^{-\delta t} \{ (c - \beta k^\alpha)^\gamma + \lambda [k^\alpha - nk - c] \} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \dot{k} = i - nk, \quad (5)$$

$$k(0) = k_0, \quad (6)$$

$$y = c + i, \quad (7)$$

$$y = k^\alpha, \quad (8)$$

and the transversality condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\delta t} \lambda k = 0$ .

The first order conditions for the above maximization problem are:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c} = \gamma(c - \beta k^\alpha)^{\gamma-1} - \lambda = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d(\lambda e^{-\delta t})}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial k}, \text{ or} \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = \delta\lambda - \left\{ \gamma(c - \beta k^\alpha)^{\gamma-1}(-\beta\alpha k^{\alpha-1}) + \lambda(\alpha k^{\alpha-1} - n) \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Since along the optimal path equation (9) holds, we can differentiate it with respect to time yielding

$$\dot{\lambda} = \gamma(\gamma - 1)(c - \beta k^\alpha)^{\gamma-2}(\dot{c} - \alpha\beta k^{\alpha-1}\dot{k}). \quad (12)$$

Substituting (9) and (12) into equation (11) and rearranging gives that

$$\dot{c} = \alpha\beta k^{\alpha-1}\dot{k} + \left( \frac{c - \beta k^\alpha}{\gamma - 1} \right) (\delta + \alpha(\beta - 1)k^{\alpha-1} + n). \quad (13)$$

Substituting the state equation (5) for the capital stock yields the optimal path for c.

$$\dot{c} = \alpha\beta k^{\alpha-1}(k^\alpha - c - nk) + \left( \frac{c - \beta k^\alpha}{\gamma - 1} \right) (\delta + \alpha(\beta - 1)k^{\alpha-1} + n). \quad (14)$$

In the stationary state,  $\dot{k} = \dot{c} = 0$ , which implies that

$$k^* = \left[ \frac{n + \delta}{\alpha(1 - \beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}. \quad (15)$$

Suppose that there is an exogenous path for policy  $x(t)$  such that  $\beta$  is changing through time. Then it is clear from both (14) and (15) that  $k^*$  is at a supremum when  $\beta = 0$ . Therefore, at a given moment in time, if  $\beta$  is declining then  $k^*$  is increasing and so is income and consumption. On the other hand, a country's growth rate will decline as  $\beta$  increases, e.g., if the citizenry wants a larger portion of output allocated for basic needs. This may explain the decline in the growth rate in Europe and the US over the last couple of decades.