Post Entry Growth and Survival of Business Startups: The Role of Founding Teams

Joonkyu Choi¹ Nathan Goldschlag² John Haltiwanger^{3,2} J. Daniel Kim^{4,2}

¹Federal Reserve Board of Governors

²U.S. Census Bureau

³University of Maryland

⁴University of Pennsylvania

Fall 2019

This talk also draws on collaborative work with Ryan Decker, Ron Jarmin and Javier Miranda. Disclaimer: Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau or the Federal Reserve Board of Governors or its staff. All results have been reviewed to ensure that no confidential information is disclosed, Disclosure review number DRB-B0032-CED-20190315 and CBDRB-FR19-398.

Up or Out Dynamics for Young Firms



Source: Decker, Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2014)

Median Surviving Firm Exhibits Zero Growth



Source: Decker, Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2014)

High Average Growth of Young Firms Driven by Skewness



Source: Decker, Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2014)

Declining Contribution of Young Firms Especially Post 2000



Young: Age \leq 5. Source: LBD + BED

Declining Skewness in High Tech Post 2000



Source: Decker, Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2016)

Declining Skewness in High Tech Driven by Young Firms



Young: Age \leq 5. Source: Decker, Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2016)

Young Businesses Subject to Intense Selection on Productivity



Young: Age \leq 5 Source: Decker, Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2019)

What accounts for this variation? Founders?



IOTO: NORMAN SEEFF



PHOTO: WILLIAM MERCER MCLEOD



 Founders/founding team generate organizational capital at firm formation



- Founders/founding team generate organizational capital at firm formation
- One hypothesis: organizational capital embodied in founding team (e.g. core business vision, norms and culture)



- Founders/founding team generate organizational capital at firm formation
- One hypothesis: organizational capital embodied in founding team (e.g. core business vision, norms and culture)
- Alternative: Once organizational capital created, founding team members are easily replaceable



- Founders/founding team generate organizational capital at firm formation
- One hypothesis: organizational capital embodied in founding team (e.g. core business vision, norms and culture)
- Alternative: Once organizational capital created, founding team members are easily replaceable
- Horse (Firm: Idea, Product, etc.) vs. Jockey (Founder/Founding Team) (see Kaplan, Sensoy, and Strömberg, 2009)

- Startups (LBD employer frame) matched to founding teams (LEHD job frame) to identify first-year joiners
 - Supplemented with business owners from sole proprietors
 - ► Focus on sole proprietors and corporations. Active owners of latter are on payroll.

- Startups (LBD employer frame) matched to founding teams (LEHD job frame) to identify first-year joiners
 - Supplemented with business owners from sole proprietors
 - ► Focus on sole proprietors and corporations. Active owners of latter are on payroll.
- > Proxy for human capital as prior earnings (contains skill, experience, tenure, etc.)

- Startups (LBD employer frame) matched to founding teams (LEHD job frame) to identify first-year joiners
 - Supplemented with business owners from sole proprietors
 - ► Focus on sole proprietors and corporations. Active owners of latter are on payroll.
- > Proxy for human capital as prior earnings (contains skill, experience, tenure, etc.)
- Classify the FT as key personnel (KP) and non-KP
 - ▶ KP is top 3 by earnings for corporations, owner and top 2 for sole proprietors
 - The vast majority of active owners likely included in KP (Nelson, 2016; Azoulay et al, 2018); KP likely includes non-owners with key leadership positions

- Startups (LBD employer frame) matched to founding teams (LEHD job frame) to identify first-year joiners
 - Supplemented with business owners from sole proprietors
 - ► Focus on sole proprietors and corporations. Active owners of latter are on payroll.
- > Proxy for human capital as prior earnings (contains skill, experience, tenure, etc.)
- Classify the FT as key personnel (KP) and non-KP
 - ▶ KP is top 3 by earnings for corporations, owner and top 2 for sole proprietors
 - The vast majority of active owners likely included in KP (Nelson, 2016; Azoulay et al, 2018); KP likely includes non-owners with key leadership positions
- Startup outcome measures (scale, growth, productivity) for 6.2M firms
- ▶ Worker characteristics (demographics, premature death) for 72.8M FT members
- Coverage from 1990 to 2015

Basic Facts

High HC Startups Tend To Perform Better



- Conditional positive correlation between founding team HC and firm performance (controlling for industry by year)
 - productivity growth (control for initial productivity)
 - employment growth (control for initial size)
 - survival rate (control for initial size)

High HC Startups Tend To Perform Better



- Conditional positive correlation between founding team HC and firm performance (controlling for industry by year)
 - productivity growth (control for initial productivity)
 - employment growth (control for initial size)
 - survival rate (control for initial size)
- Correlations are hard to interpret due to endogeneity
 - High quality ideas attract high ability people

Making Causal Inference

- TREATED: We exploit exogenous variation in composition of FT after startup via premature death (Jones and Olken, 2005; Jaravel, Petkova, and Bell, 2018)
 - > Death shocks: death of earnings active FT member that is less than 60 years old

Making Causal Inference

- TREATED: We exploit exogenous variation in composition of FT after startup via premature death (Jones and Olken, 2005; Jaravel, Petkova, and Bell, 2018)
 - > Death shocks: death of earnings active FT member that is less than 60 years old
- CONTROL: Coarsened exact matching strategy to find control firms
 - Matching on startup cohort, industry, state, number and average age of active FT members in quarter of death shock

Making Causal Inference

- TREATED: We exploit exogenous variation in composition of FT after startup via premature death (Jones and Olken, 2005; Jaravel, Petkova, and Bell, 2018)
 - > Death shocks: death of earnings active FT member that is less than 60 years old
- CONTROL: Coarsened exact matching strategy to find control firms
 - Matching on startup cohort, industry, state, number and average age of active FT members in quarter of death shock
- Event study regression specification

$$Y_{i,j,t} = \sum_{k=-5}^{5} \lambda_k d[k]_{i,t} + \sum_{k=-5}^{5} \delta_k d[k]_{i,t} \times TREAT_i + \alpha_i + \gamma_{j,t} + \epsilon_{i,j,t}$$

Firm *i*, time *t*, industry *j*. Also control for firm age.

Losing FT Member Shrinks Firm



Notes: Controlling for firm effects, firm age and industry-year effects. Hollow points $\rightarrow p > 0.05$. Reference group t - 1.

Meaningful or Mechanical?



 Average firm size at death is about 15.5; mechanical effect of losing one would be about -0.07

Effect is persistent

 Job-filling rates are high and vacancy duration is measured in days not years (Davis, Faberman, and Haltiwanger, 2013)

Losing FT Member Decreases Revenue



Source: Founding Team Database (LBD, LEHD), author's calculations Notes: Controlling for firm effects, firm age and industry-year effects. Hollow points $\rightarrow p > 0.05$. Reference group $t - \frac{1}{4}r_{/23}$

Losing FT Member Reduces Revenue More than Employment



Notes: Controlling for firm effects, firm age and industry-year effects. Hollow points $\rightarrow p > 0.05$. Reference group t - 1.

Extensive Margin is Substantial



Source: Founding Team Database (LBD, LEHD), author's calculations Notes: Cox estimate 0.35 (0.013).

Heterogeneous Treatment Effects

Pre-post regression specification

$$Y_{i,j,t} = \lambda \cdot POST_{i,t} + \delta \cdot POST_{i,t} imes TREAT_i$$

 $+ \alpha_i + \tau_{j,t} + \epsilon_{i,j,t}$

•
$$POST_{i,t} = 1$$
 if $t \ge$ death shock year

Heterogeneous Treatment Effects

Pre-post regression specification

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{i,j,t} = \lambda \cdot POST_{i,t} + \delta \cdot POST_{i,t} \times TREAT_i \\ & + \beta \cdot POST_{i,t} \times TREAT_i \times Z_i \\ & + \eta \cdot POST_{i,t} \times Z_i + \alpha_i + \tau_{j,t} + \epsilon_{i,j,t} \end{aligned}$$

• $POST_{i,t} = 1$ if $t \ge$ death shock year

Heterogeneous treatment effect w.r.t. Z_i

Heterogeneous Treatment Effects

Pre-post regression specification

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{i,j,t} = \lambda \cdot POST_{i,t} + \delta \cdot POST_{i,t} \times TREAT_i \\ & + \beta \cdot POST_{i,t} \times TREAT_i \times Z_i \\ & + \eta \cdot POST_{i,t} \times Z_i + \alpha_i + \tau_{j,t} + \epsilon_{i,j,t} \end{aligned}$$

• $POST_{i,t} = 1$ if $t \ge$ death shock year

Heterogeneous treatment effect w.r.t. Z_i

Losing KP and High HC Member Results in Larger Negative Effect



▶ Non-KP and the average HC also yield nontrivial, negative and persistent effect

No Particularly Larger Effect in High Tech or in Small Business Sector



No Particularly Larger Effect in High Tech or in Small Business Sector



 Quantitatively similar (slightly larger) effects found for High Tech or Hurst & Pugsley industries only sample

- Results consistent with organizational capital embodied in FT
- Alternative Mechanisms?
 - Loss of valuable worker always matters? Jager and Heining (2019) find that workers are largely replaceable.
 - Emotional distress? Effects are persistent and vary by KP/earnings.

- > Young firms critical for job creation, innovation and productivity growth
- Enormous post-entry dispersion and skewness
- Declining startups incuding high growth firms.
- Understanding dispersion, skewness and declining startups open questions.
- Current paper highlights role of founding team.