

# ECON 602 - Macroeconomic Analysis II

## Comprehensive Exam

August 2008

Prof. Borağan Aruoba

This question is from Correia (*J Pub E*, 1996).

a. Household's Problem. Taking as given  $\{\tau_t^k, \tau_t^h, w_t, r_t, s_t, R_t^b\}$  and all stochastic processes the household solves

$$\max_{\{c_t, k_t, b_t, h_t, L_t\}} E_0 \sum_t \beta^t [\log(c_t) + \log(1 - h_t) + \log(1 - L_t)] \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$c_t + k_t + b_t \leq (1 - \tau_t^h) w_t h_t + s_t L_t + R_t^k k_{t-1} + R_t^b b_{t-1} \quad (2)$$

where

$$R_t^k \equiv 1 + (1 - \tau_t^k)(r_t - \delta) \quad (3)$$

FOC are

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \xi_t \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - h_t} = \xi_t (1 - \tau_t^h) w_t \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - L_t} = \xi_t s_t \quad (6)$$

$$\xi_t = \beta E_t (\xi_{t+1} R_{t+1}^k) \quad (7)$$

$$\xi_t = \beta E_t (\xi_{t+1} R_{t+1}^b) \quad (8)$$

where  $\beta^t \xi_t$  is the multiplier on (2). Rearranging

$$\frac{c_t}{1 - h_t} = (1 - \tau_t^h) w_t \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{c_t}{1 - L_t} = s_t \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left( \frac{R_{t+1}^k}{c_{t+1}} \right) \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left( \frac{R_{t+1}^b}{c_{t+1}} \right) \quad (12)$$

along with the appropriate TVCs characterize the solution to the household's problem.

b. The firm's problem yields

$$r_t = F_K(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (13)$$

$$w_t = F_H(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (14)$$

$$s_t = F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (15)$$

c. Equilibrium is a list  $\{c_t, k_t, h_t, L_t, b_t, w_t, r_t, s_t, R_t^b\}$ , given  $\{\tau_t^h, \tau_t^k, g_t\}$ ,  $k_0, b_0$  and the processes for exogenous processes, that satisfy (2), (9)-(12) and (13)-(15). Collecting everything we get

$$\frac{c_t}{1-h_t} = (1-\tau_t^h) F_H(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{c_t}{1-L_t} = F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{1 + (1-\tau_{t+1}^k) [F_K(k_t, h_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) - \delta]}{c_{t+1}} \right\} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left( \frac{R_{t+1}^b}{c_{t+1}} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$c_t + k_t + g_t = F(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) + (1-\delta) k_{t-1} \quad (20)$$

$$c_t + k_t + b_t = (1-\tau_t^h) F_H(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) h_t + F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) L_t \quad (21)$$

$$+ (1-\tau_t^k) [F_K(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) - \delta] k_{t-1} + R_t^b b_{t-1} \quad (22)$$

to define  $\{c_t, k_t, h_t, L_t, b_t, R_t^b\}$  which are 6 equations in 6 unknowns.

d. The naive Ramsey problem will be

$$\max_{\{c_t, h_t, k_t, L_t\}} E_0 \sum_t \beta^t [\log(c_t) + \log(1-h_t) + \log(1-L_t)] \quad (23)$$

subject to

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0} \beta^t \left[ 1 - \frac{h_t}{1-h_t} - \frac{L_t}{1-L_t} \right] = A_0 \text{ (w/ multiplier } \lambda) \quad (24)$$

$$F(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) + (1-\delta) k_{t-1} - c_t - g_t - k_t \geq 0 \text{ (w/ multiplier } \beta^t \gamma_t) \quad (25)$$

with FOC

$$\frac{1}{c_t} - \gamma_t = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$-\frac{1}{1-h_t} - \frac{\xi(1-h_t+h_t)}{(1-h_t)^2} + \gamma_t F_h(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$-\frac{1}{1-L_t} - \frac{\xi(1-L_t+L_t)}{(1-L_t)^2} + \gamma_t F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$-\gamma_t + \beta E_t \{ \gamma_{t+1} [F_k(k_t, h_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta] \} = 0 \quad (29)$$

and rearranging

$$\frac{c_t}{1-h_t} \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi}{1-h_t} \right] = F_h(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{c_t}{1-L_t} \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi}{1-L_t} \right] = F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{[F_k(k_t, h_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta]}{c_{t+1}} \right\} \quad (32)$$

**Proposition 1** *The allocations from the naive Ramsey problem cannot be implemented under any competitive equilibrium.*

**Proof.** To see this, compare the equilibrium condition (17) with the Ramsey problem's solution (31)

$$\frac{c_t}{1-L_t} = F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{c_t}{1-L_t} \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi}{1-L_t} \right] = F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \quad (34)$$

We see that both equations cannot hold unless  $\xi = 0$ , which would be the case when Ramsey planner is not constrained by the PVIC, and this cannot happen. ■

e.

**Proposition 2** *The long-run capital income tax rate is positive iff  $L$  and  $K$  are complements and negative iff they are substitutes.*

To prove this, we first need to solve the “proper” Ramsey problem with

$$\frac{c_t}{1-L_t} - F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) \geq \text{(w/ multiplier } \beta^t \mu_t) \quad (35)$$

to the problem. The FOC are

$$\frac{1}{c_t} - \gamma_t + \frac{\mu_t}{1-L_t} = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$-\frac{1}{1-h_t} - \frac{\xi}{(1-h_t)^2} + \gamma_t F_h(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) - \mu_t F_{LH}(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) = 0 \quad (37)$$

$$-\frac{1}{1-L_t} - \frac{\xi}{(1-L_t)^2} + \gamma_t F_L(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) - \frac{\mu_t c_t}{(1-L_t)^2} = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$-\gamma_t + \beta E_t \{ \gamma_{t+1} [F_k(k_t, h_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta] \} - \mu_t F_{LK}(k_{t-1}, h_t, L_t) = 0 \quad (39)$$

Simplifying and imposing steady state on (36), we get

$$\gamma = \beta \gamma (F_k + 1 - \delta) - \mu F_{LK} \quad (40)$$

and combining with the equilibrium condition (18) at the steady state

$$1 = \beta \{ 1 + (1 - \tau_{t+1}^k) [F_K(k_t, h_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) - \delta] \} \quad (41)$$

we get

$$\tau^k = \frac{\mu F_{LK}}{\beta \gamma (F_K - \delta)} \quad (42)$$